

(2018) 4 Supreme Court Cases 433

(BEFORE MADAN B. LOKUR AND DEEPAK GUPTA, JJ.)

a SAMPURNA BEHURA .. Petitioner;

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS .. Respondents.

Writ Petition (C) No. 473 of 2005[†], decided on February 9, 2018

b **A. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — Evaluation, assessment and social audit — Need of, stressed because inspite of several years from date of enactment of JJ Act (in its old and new avatars), it has not been effectively implemented**

c **— Laws relating to children like 2000 Act and JJ Act must be effectively implemented — State and policy-makers must realise constitutional obligation in this regard — Children deserve dignified treatment — Merely because they have no voice does not mean that they would be compelled to live in conditions that are uncomfortable**

— Response of High Courts in implementation of said Acts is positive — But unfortunately State Governments and Union Territories have failed to fully comply with said statutes

d **— Human and Civil Rights — Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005 — Ss. 13, 3 and 17 — Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 — S. 63 — Constitution of India, Art. 21 (Paras 2, 3, 10, 11, 21, 41 and 93)**

e *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 26-9-2005 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 3-1-2007 (SC); *Sheela Barse (2) v. Union of India*, (1986) 3 SCC 632 : 1986 SCC (Cri) 352; *Sheela Barse v. Union of India*, (1988) 4 SCC 226; *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 14-2-2011 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 11-7-2011 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, (2011) 15 SCC 232 : (2012) 4 SCC (Cri) 580; *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, (2011) 9 SCC 801 : (2011) 3 SCC (Cri) 916; *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 11-9-2015 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 15-2-2016 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 9-5-2016 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 22-8-2016 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 17-2-2017 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 5-4-2017 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 11-7-2017 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 15-11-2017 (SC); *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 20-11-2017 (SC), referred to

g **B. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — National Consultation dt. 26-9-2017 — Considerable improvement in understanding child rights and juvenile justice issues — But unfortunately minutes of National Consultation, not on record — Constitution of India, Art. 21 (Para 48)**

h **C. Human and Civil Rights — Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005 — Ss. 13, 3 and 17 — Decision-making institutions under 2005 Act and JJ Act — Like National Commission for Protection of Child Rights**

[†] Under Article 32 of the Constitution of India

(NCPCR) and State Commission for Protection of Child Rights (SCPCRS) under Ss. 3 and 17 respectively of 2005 Act — Ground level institutions being State Child Protection Society and District Child Protection Unit under JJ Act

— Importance, role and functions of NCPCR and SCPCRS, stated — If said institution perform their statutory functions and duties, enforcement of child rights would actually become a reality — Unless the NCPCR and the SCPCRS are given due importance by the Government of India and the State Governments and vacancies are filled up in time, there cannot be effective implementation of child welfare laws — Hope expressed that Governments would give said importance

— Direction issued — Governments and authorities directed to ensure that all positions in the NCPCR and SCPCRS are filled up well in time and adequate staff is provided to these statutory bodies so that they can function effectively and meaningfully for the benefit of the children

— Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 — S. 63 — Constitution of India, Art. 21 (Paras 53 to 58, 94.1 and 94.2)

D. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 106, 107, 3, 4 and 7 — State Child Protection Society and District Child Protection Unit — Their constitution must include police and NGOs — But in absence of clear-cut guidelines, only government officials are appointed — Said two bodies must actively take assistance of NGOs and function in manner provided in statute — Constitution of India, Art. 21 (Paras 59 to 63 and 94.3)

E. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ch. III and V and S. 36 — JJ Boards and Child Welfare Committees (CWCs) — Pending inquiries — Cause, remedy and directions

— Establishment in every State and district required — Exceptions can be there for districts where there are very few inquiries — Circuits JJB could be considered for adjacent districts where pending inquiries are quite few

— JJ Boards — Social workers and Principal Magistrates — Proper functioning and training, required

— JJBs and CWCs directed to sit on regular basis — Other States can follow Delhi model of more than one JJB for places having large number of pending inquiries

— Adequate staff is required for JJBs — State Governments directed to ensure that all positions in the JJBs and CWCs are filled up expeditiously and in accordance with the Model Rules or the Rules framed by the State Government — Any delay in filling up the positions might adversely impact on children and this should be avoided

— Quality legal aid lawyers should assist juveniles in conflict with law and similarly Public Prosecutors also need to be sensitized — State Government expected to take necessary steps in this regard

— Probation Officer — Role in conducting effective inquiry, pointed out — May 2016 Training Module for Probationary Officers by NALSA, extremely helpful — Therefore, proper training of said officers, required

a

b

c

d

e

f

g

h

— NCPCR and the SCPCRS directed to carry out study to find out required Probation Officers and State Government directed to make appointments accordingly — Role and importance of said officers, emphasised

a — Child Welfare Committees — View and conclusions applicable to JJBs are equally applicable to Child Welfare Committees — Appointment of legal aid lawyers to assist the CWC depend on case-to-case basis and may not be necessary in all cases — Judicial notice taken of fact that payment of honorarium is not made on regular basis and CWC were provided with very poor infrastructure facilities — State Governments should remedy said situation

— Use of technology for effective functioning of JJB and CWCs — Availability of computers for juvenile justice issues, unsatisfactory — Ministry of Women and Child Development (MWCD) must continue to make creative use of information and communication technology not only for the purpose of collecting data and information but also for other issues connected with JJ Act such as having a database of missing children, trafficked children and for follow-up of adoption cases, etc. — With utilisation of technology to fullest extent, administrative efficiency of JJBs and CWCs will improve considerably, which in turn will have a positive impact on the lives of children — Constitution of India, Art. 21 (Paras 47 to 51, 64 to 78, 94.4 to 94.8)

d *Naisul Khatun v. State of Assam*, 2010 SCC OnLine Gau 225 : 2011 Cri LJ 326, cited

F. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 3, 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — Online Central Monitoring System implemented by MWCD, appreciated — But States and Union Territories not adhering to requests made for entering data — For 2014-2015 most of States did not provide necessary information — Directions — State Governments directed to fill up information on Central-Level Monitoring System once a quarter which surely is not a difficult task — Constitution of India, Art. 21

Held :

In an affidavit filed on 31-7-2015 the status of an online Central-Level Monitoring System being implemented by MWCD was indicated. Briefly, each State and Union Territory is given a login ID and password to access the formats, feed data and submit reports to MWCD. Very broadly, the areas covered by the reports are Homes and Children, Open Shelters, Specialised Adoption Agencies, Non-Institutional Care, Child Welfare Committees, Juvenile Justice Boards and Service Delivery Structures. This is a very forward-looking step and a major attempt to collect information so that the JJ Act could be effectively implemented and the planning process more structured rather than ad hoc. (Paras 43 and 46)

g *Sampurna Behrva v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 15-2-2016 (SC), referred to

MWCD filed another affidavit on 8-9-2015 which indicated requests made to States and Union Territories to adhere to the requirements of entering information in the software as required by the Central-Level Monitoring System. For the period 2015-2016 most States did not provide the necessary information. (Para 44)

h

The unfortunate part is that there does not seem to be much active cooperation extended by the State Governments in updating the information on the Central-Level Monitoring System. In one of the affidavits filed by MWCD and adverted to above, it was stated that while almost every State Government has filled up the relevant information for the year 2014-2015, but the response was rather lukewarm with regard to the period 2015-2016. Needless to say, updating information is extremely important so that there can be efficient planning which will ultimately lead to better management of issues concerning children. The State Governments are required to fill up the information on the Central-Level Monitoring System once a quarter and surely that cannot be a difficult task. (Para 79)

G. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 3, 4, 7, 106, 107 and Ch. IV — Effective implementation of JJ Act — Role of Police and statutory officers like CWPO and SJPU under S. 107 JJ Act: (a) No effective training system for said officers, (b) policy of rotation/transfer wasting efforts spent on training, and (c) role of railway police to deal with issues like runaway children, ragpickers and drug abuse, etc. — Clarification and directions

— Police as first responder should realise importance of issues pertaining to offences allegedly committed by children or against children — There is therefore a need to set up meaningful Special Juvenile Police Units and appoint Child Welfare Police Officers in terms of the JJ Act at earliest and not only on paper — Duties and responsibilities of such units and officers must be clearly identified — Wherever necessary, guidance from available expertise, either National Police Academy or Bureau of Police Research and Development or NGOs must be taken for benefit of children — National Police Academy and State Police Academies must consider including child rights as a part of their curriculum on a regular basis and not as an isolated or sporadic event — Constitution of India, Art. 21 (Paras 80 to 84, 94.9 and 94.10)

Sanat Kumar Sinha v. State of Bihar, 2008 SCC OnLine Pat 1341 : (2009) 4 PLJR 718, approved

H. Human and Civil Rights — Children's rights — Child Care Institutions — Evaluation of to ascertain condition, infrastructure and staff requirement — Government has to adhere to minimum requirement as prescribed in model rules — Constitution of India, Art. 21

Held :

There is a lot to be said with regard to Child Care Institutions. Many of them are housed in rundown buildings and are hardly conducive to comfortable living even to a minimum degree. The State Governments must appreciate that they are not doing any charity by putting up children in Child Care Institutions — they are merely performing their statutory and constitutional obligations. There is, therefore, an urgent need to make an evaluation and assessment of all the Child Care Institutions in every State to ascertain their condition, the infrastructure requirements and staffing requirements. Children live in these institutions, not because they want to but because they have no other option, since most of them are children in need of care and protection. The obligation of society is to provide solace and comfort to these children and adherence to the minimum standards of care. Model Rules contain details for improved Child Care Institutions and their

requirements. The State Governments have merely to adhere to these minimum requirements. (Para 85)

a *State of Punjab, In re*, 2013 SCC OnLine P&H 7489 : ILR (2013) 2 P&H 533, referred to

I. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 3, 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — Responsibilities of State Governments and all bodies under 2005 and JJ Act — Additional duties and responsibilities towards children like providing education, healthcare and adequate nutrition to children, pointed out — Constitution of India, Art. 21

b *Held* :

There are, of course, additional duties and responsibilities obligated by the Constitution on the State Governments such as providing education, health care (both physical and psychological) and adequate nutrition. These are huge areas that need to be looked into with all sincerity by the bodies and institutions established under the Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005 and the JJ Act. Citizens concerned like Sampurna Behura can only highlight the systemic implementation lapses and flaws and hope that the State Governments and the various bodies constituted under statutes enacted by Parliament perform their duties and functions. But, in such exceptional circumstances, the courts are constitutionally obliged to issue a continuing mandamus in public interest for implementation of the laws enacted by Parliament, which is essentially the primary responsibility of the executive. If the executive ignores the mandate of Parliament with continuing callousness, it will only be to the detriment of the children of our country. (Para 87)

c
d
e **J. Human and Civil Rights — Children's rights — Child Care Institutions — Registration of, held, mandatory in public interest to ensure that minimum standards of care are maintained and children in those Institutions are well looked after — Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 41 and 42 — Constitution of India, Art. 21 (Paras 45, 88 and 94.11)**

K. Human and Civil Rights — Children's Rights — Child Care Institutions — Segregation of children based on age and wherever necessary based on nature of offence, is required so that possibility of sexual abuse and other offence is eliminated — Said issues are serious and should be addressed by State Governments and SCPCRS — Constitution of India, Art. 21

f *Held* :

It was suggested that in all Child Care Institutions, there should be a segregation of children in terms of age and wherever applicable segregation based on the nature of the offence allegedly committed so that the possibility of sexual abuse or any other kind of violence is eliminated. Additionally, all such institutions should be advised to provide vocational or continuing education with a view to resettling children in conflict with law and children in need of care and protection by reintegrating and mainstreaming them in society. All these are issues of serious concern and need to be addressed by the State Governments and SCPCRS. (Para 89)

g
h **L. Human and Civil Rights — Children's Rights — Child Care Institutions — There should be adequate staff in child care institutions — Constitution of India, Art. 21 (Para 90)**

M. Human and Civil Rights — Children's rights — Child Care Institutions — Location — JJBs and CWCs should be in close proximity of Child Care Institutions to avoid children having to travel long distances — Constitution of India, Art. 21

a

N. Human and Civil Rights — Children's Rights — Child Care Institutions — Visitor system — Unscheduled visits to Child Care Institutions by eminent persons from civil society can ascertain whether said institutions are in fact functioning as they should be — Constitution of India, Art. 21

Held :

b

One of the submissions made with regard to Child Care Institutions was that the District Legal Services Authorities may make unscheduled visits to them to ascertain whether they are in fact functioning as they should. This "Visitor" system is definitely worth implementing and NALSA should go ahead with the suggestion given. In fact, the Chief Justices Conference in 2006 had specifically adverted to the appointment of Visitors to Child Care Institutions and in the Chief Justices Conference in 2013 and 2015 improving the living conditions in Child Care Institutions was also adverted to. NALSA and the District Legal Services Authorities must respect the views expressed in the Chief Justices Conferences. (Para 91)

c

The State Governments and Union Territories would be well advised to appoint eminent persons from civil society as Visitors to monitor and supervise the Child Care Institutions in all the districts. This will ensure that the management and maintenance of these Institutions are addressed. There is no doubt that the State Legal Service Authorities and the District Legal Service Authorities will extend full assistance and cooperation to the government authorities in this venture as well as to the Visitors. (Para 94.12)

d

O. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 3, 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — Juvenile Justice Fund — Concern expressed that some States have not set up said fund and some have set it up with an embarrassing amount of only a few thousands — Doubt expressed as to whether welfare of children could be looked at with such pittance in the kitty — Constitution of India, Art. 21

e

Held :

The JJ Act provides for the constitution of a Juvenile Justice Fund. It is quite distressing to note that some of the State Governments have not even set up the Juvenile Justice Fund while one or two State Governments have set up the Fund with an embarrassing amount of only a few thousand rupees. It is surprising how the welfare of children can be looked after by these State Governments with such a pittance in the kitty. Obviously, these State Governments are not seriously concerned about the welfare of children, which is a pity. This is yet another example of official apathy to the rights of children and a cause for worry. (Para 92)

f

The JJ Fund is a bit of an embarrassment with an absence of an effective response from the State Governments and the Union Territories. Financial resources should be made available for the welfare of the children. (Para 94.13)

g

P. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 3, 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — Appreciation expressed for efforts of NALSA in respect of data collection — Constitution of India, Art. 21

h

Held :

a NALSA has done a remarkable job in collecting data and information relating to the JJ Act, as evidenced by the three part Report prepared by it. NALSA requested to carry forward the exercise and complete a similar Report preferably before 30-4-2018 to assist all the policy making and decision taking authorities to plan out their affairs. (Para 94.14)

Q. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 3, 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — Importance of training for all functionaries, stressed — Constitution of India, Art. 21

b

Held :

c The importance of training cannot be overemphasised. It is vital for understanding and appreciating child rights and for the effective implementation of the JJ Act. All authorities such as JJBs and CWCs, Probation Officers, members of the Child Protection Societies and District Child Protection Units, Special Juvenile Police Units, Child Welfare Police Officers and managerial staff of Child Care Institutions must be sensitised and given adequate training relating to their position. A very positive step has been taken in this regard by NALSA and the NCPCR with the assistance of the SCPCRS should carry forward this initiative so that there is meaningful implementation of the JJ Act. (Para 94.15)

R. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 3, 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — High Courts directed to register proceedings on its own motion for implementation of JJ Act — Constitution of India, Art. 21

d

Held :

e Since the involvement of the State Governments and the Union Territories is critical to child rights and the effective implementation of the JJ Act, it would be appropriate if each High Court and the Juvenile Justice Committee of each High Court continues its proactive role in the welfare of children in their State. To make the involvement and process more meaningful, the Chief Justice of every High Court is requested to register proceedings on its own motion for the effective implementation of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 so that road-blocks if any, encountered by statutory authorities and the Juvenile Justice Committee of the High Court are meaningfully addressed after hearing the governmental authorities concerned. A copy of this judgment and order should be sent by the Secretary General of the Supreme Court to the Registrar General of each High Court for being placed before the Chief Justice of every High Court for initiating suo motu proceedings. (Para 94.16)

f

S. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 3, 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — High Courts directed to establish child-friendly courts for implementing JJ Act in its true spirit — Constitution of India, Art. 21

g

Held :

h Finally, the Chief Justice of each High Court is requested to seriously consider establishing child-friendly courts and vulnerable witness courts in each district. Inquiries under the JJ Act and trials under other statutes such as the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, the Prohibition of Child Marriage

440 SUPREME COURT CASES (2018) 4 SCC

Act, 2006, trials for sexual offences under the Penal Code and other similar laws require to be conducted with a high degree of sensitivity, care and empathy for the victim. It is often said that the experience in our courts of a juvenile accused of an offence or the victim of a sexual offence is traumatic. The court needs to have some compassion towards them—even juveniles in conflict with law, since they are entitled to the presumption of innocence—and establishing child-friendly courts and vulnerable witness courts is perhaps one manner in which the justice delivery system can respond to ease their pain and suffering. Another advantage of such child-friendly courts and vulnerable witness courts is that they can be used for trials in which adult women are victims of sexual offences since they too are often traumatised by the not so friendly setting and environment in our courts. (Para 95)

T. Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 — Ss. 3, 4, 7, 106 and 107 — Implementation of JJ Act — Appreciation expressed for efforts of writ petitioner and all concerned for not making present proceedings an adversarial proceeding — Constitution of India, Art. 21 (Para 96)

SS-D/59878/CR

Advocates who appeared in this case :

Colin Gonsalves, Senior Advocate (Ms Sneha Mukherjee and Ms Jyoti Mendiratta, Advocates) for the Petitioner;
 S. Wasim A. Qadri, R.K. Rathore, Ms Sunita Sharma, Ms Gunwant Dara, A.A. Raj, B.V. Balaram Das, G.S. Makker, Raj Bahadur, Shailender Saini, Saeed Qadri, Vikas Bansal, Gagan Gupta and Saurabh Gupta, Advocates, for the Respondents.

<i>Chronological list of cases cited</i>	<i>on page(s)</i>	
1. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 20-11-2017 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	461a	a
2. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 15-11-2017 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	461g	b
3. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 11-7-2017 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	459b-c	e
4. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 5-4-2017 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	455b-c	
5. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 17-2-2017 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	455a	
6. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 22-8-2016 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	454c	f
7. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 9-5-2016 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	454a	
8. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 15-2-2016 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	453b-c, 462d	
9. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 11-9-2015 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	451f-g	
10. 2013 SCC OnLine P&H 7489 : ILR (2013) 2 P&H 533, <i>State of Punjab, In re</i>	466a, 475a-b	g
11. (2011) 15 SCC 232 : (2012) 4 SCC (Cri) 580, <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	451c, 451c-d	
12. (2011) 9 SCC 801 : (2011) 3 SCC (Cri) 916, <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	451d	
13. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 11-7-2011 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	450a	
14. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 14-2-2011 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	449d	h

	SAMPURNA BEHURA v. UNION OF INDIA (<i>Lokur, J.</i>)	441
	15. 2010 SCC OnLine Gau 225 : 2011 Cri LJ 326, <i>Naisul Khatun v. State of Assam</i>	468f-g
a	16. 2008 SCC OnLine Pat 1341 : (2009) 4 PLJR 718, <i>Sanat Kumar Sinha v. State of Bihar</i>	474a
	17. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 3-1-2007 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	446a-b, 448a-b
	18. WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 26-9-2005 (SC), <i>Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India</i>	446a
	19. (1988) 4 SCC 226, <i>Sheela Barse v. Union of India</i>	449c
b	20. (1986) 3 SCC 632 : 1986 SCC (Cri) 352, <i>Sheela Barse (2) v. Union of India</i>	448a-b, 448b-c

The Judgment of the Court was delivered by

MADAN B. LOKUR, J.— What can a citizen do if the State pays no attention to his or her fundamental or human or statutory right, nor takes serious interest in fulfilling its constitutional or statutory obligations? What if that citizen is a voiceless child or someone whose voice cannot be heard over the din of governance — for example, physically or mentally challenged persons, senior citizens, and other disadvantaged sections of society such as Scheduled Castes, Tribals and several others? The aggrieved would perhaps approach the judiciary (if he or she could and as a last resort) for the enforcement of a human right. Should the judiciary take corrective steps and be accused of “judicial activism” or overreach — or should the cynics and skeptics have their day resulting in the grievance of the voiceless and the disadvantaged remaining unheard and the fundamental and human rights lying unaddressed?

2. These questions arise in the context of the virtual non-implementation or tardy implementation of laws beneficial to voiceless (and sometimes silenced) children, particularly, the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 (the 2000 Act) and the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (the JJ Act). We record and acknowledge our appreciation for the efforts of Sampurna Behura in highlighting some of these issues by way of a public interest litigation and to the learned counsel for the appearing parties in rendering assistance enabling us to address some of these issues by not making these proceedings adversarial, but a constructive effort for the benefit of the children of our country. No one has any doubt that it is time for the State to strongly and proactively acknowledge that even children in our country have fundamental rights and human rights and they need to be enforced equally strongly.

3. If Nelson Mandela is to be believed, “Our children are our greatest treasure. They are our future. Those who abuse them tear at the fabric of our society and weaken our nation.”¹ Our policy and decision-makers need to heed this advice and warning and appreciate that they are not doing any favour to the children of our country by caring for them—it is their constitutional obligation

¹ Address by President Nelson Mandela at National Men’s March, Pretoria on 22-11-1997.

and the social justice laws enacted by Parliament need to be effectively and meaningfully enforced.

Background and Chief Justices Conferences

a

4. In a prescient understanding of child rights, the Chief Justices' Conference held in 2006 (presided by the Chief Justice of India with participation by the Chief Justice of every High Court) the following resolution was passed:

“(a) ... That High Courts will impress upon the State Governments to set up Juvenile Justice Boards, wherever not set up. The Chief Justices may nominate a High Court Judge to oversee the condition and functioning of the remand/observation homes established under the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000.

b

(b) The Chief Justices of the High Courts will expedite the matter with the respective State Governments for setting up of Juvenile Justice Boards, wherever they have not yet been set up.

c

(c) The Chief Justices of the High Courts will nominate a Judge to make periodical visits to Juvenile Homes, wherever set up, and the learned Judge may suggest remedial measures for the betterment of the conditions of the Juvenile Homes and inmates.”

d

5. The above resolution was passed almost six years after the 2000 Act came into force—meaning thereby that even about six years after Parliament enacted a law for the benefit of children, the State Governments had not taken steps to fulfil one basic requirement of the law, that is, to set up Juvenile Justice Boards.

6. In 2009 the Chief Justices' Conference discussed the progress made in setting up of Juvenile Justice Boards and the resolution passed in the Conference in 2006 was reiterated indicating that little or no progress had still been made by the State Governments in setting up Juvenile Justice Boards. So, almost a decade had gone by without compliance by the State Governments of a basic statutory obligation laid down by Parliament.

e

7. In 2013 the issue of strengthening the juvenile justice system was again discussed at the Chief Justices' Conference and the resolutions passed in 2006 and 2009 were reiterated. In addition, the mandate of setting up Child Welfare Committees in all districts was also emphasised to meet the requirements of children in need of care and protection and to give full effect to the provisions of the 2000 Act. It was the further resolved:

f

“... It was further resolved that Juvenile Justice Committees, as had been set up in the Delhi High Court, under the guidance of the Chief Justice and Senior Judges and others concerned with the welfare of juveniles and the working of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000, be set up in all the High Courts to monitor the implementation of the provisions of the Act in their true spirit.

g

h

a It was noticed that the State Governments had not taken serious steps to establish and set up the various Homes, referred to in the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000, as amended in 2006 and the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Rules, 2007. It was noticed that the conditions in the Remand/Observation Homes and Shelter Homes are not up to the standard and a lot of improvement was required to make these facilities meaningful, as envisaged under the above Act and Rules. It was also noticed that After-care Homes for adolescents passing b into adulthood and, in particular girls, have not been taken up seriously by the authorities concerned. The Chief Justices shall take up the matter with the State Governments for improving the conditions of the various Homes, referred to in the above Act and the Rules, and to provide for permanent staff to run the said establishments, as it was reported by some of the Chief Justices that many of the employees of the Homes had been working on c an ad hoc basis, even for as many as fifteen years. The Chief Justices were requested to take up the matter with the State authorities to ensure that services of such persons, who have been working on ad hoc basis, are regularised, if necessary, by creation of posts.

d Particular notice was taken of the fact that the provisions of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000, had not yet been implemented in the State of Jammu and Kashmir.”

8. In 2015 the issue of strengthening the juvenile justice system was once again discussed at the Chief Justices’ Conference and the following resolution was passed:

e “Resolved that the High Courts shall continue to take all steps necessary, including evolving ways to ensure greater sensitivity, to effectively deal with cases in the field of Juvenile Justice in their respective States. The High Courts should ensure that constitution of Juvenile Justice Boards and Child Welfare Committees are in place, that visits are regularly made to the Juvenile Homes, Special Homes, Observation Homes, Shelter Homes and Rescue Centres, etc. and that such homes are set up wherever f they have not already been set up. It shall also be ensured that the requisite facilities are provided as per the Standards, Rules, Policies and Guidelines in all such Homes/Centres. The assistance of State Legal Services Authorities and District Legal Services Authorities shall also be taken in this regard.”

g 9. Finally, in 2016 at the Chief Justices’ Conference the following resolution was passed:

“The Conference has noted the necessity for ensuring institutional support for juveniles in conflict of law and children in need of care and protection.

Resolved that:

h (i) cases pending for a period in excess of one year be disposed of on priority by the JJBs;

(ii) Juvenile Justice Committees of the High Courts shall monitor the pendency and disposal of adoption cases and applications for declaring children free for adoption on a priority basis;

a

(iii) steps be taken to ensure that every district is equipped with a Child Protection Unit, Special Juvenile Police Unit, Observation Homes and Children Homes;

(iv) pending cases of orphaned, abandoned and surrendered children be monitored by the Juvenile Justice Committees of High Courts;

b

(v) training and refresher training be imparted to judicial officers;

(vi) vacancies in juvenile justice institutions be filled up on a mission mode basis in three months; and

(vii) State Legal Services Authorities should actively discharge their role.”

c

10. At this stage, it may be mentioned that pursuant to the resolutions passed in the Chief Justices' Conferences, every High Court has constituted a Juvenile Justice Committee headed by a Judge of the High Court to take stock of and look into issues concerning children. We may note that every High Court has responded more than positively and each Juvenile Justice Committee has brought about some improvements in the living conditions in Homes and in the well-being and lives of many children.

d

11. Appreciating that the judiciary has a constitutional obligation to ensure that everybody acts in the best interests of the child, the Chief Justice of India set up a Committee in the Supreme Court to address the issues of effective implementation of the 2000 Act—such is the importance given by the judiciary to the rights of children.

e

12. Notwithstanding nudging by the judiciary, judicial “activism” and criticism of it, over the last decade or so, the State Governments and Union Territories have not fully complied with the provisions of a law solemnly enacted by Parliament for the benefit of children. In many instances, only cosmetic changes have been introduced at the ground level with the result that voiceless children continue to be subjects of official apathy. However, it must be acknowledged that the Union of India through the Ministry of Women and Child Development (for short “MWCD”) has taken some bold steps in recognising the rights of the children and giving them some importance. Nevertheless, the overall picture relating to the recognition of the rights of children and their realisation is far from satisfactory and remains gloomy as we continue to trudge along the long and winding road.

f

g

Writ petition in public interest

13. Sampurna Behura, the petitioner before us, has done her Masters in Sociology and was pursuing her Doctoral Thesis in the same subject at the relevant time. She has been involved in handling cases of child sexual abuse, street children and working children and has also undertaken various studies on child rights.

h

14. Concerned with the plight of children in the country, Sampurna Behura filed a writ petition under Article 32 of the Constitution drawing attention to several articles of the Constitution which impose primary responsibility on the State to ensure that the needs of children are met and their basic human rights are protected. The articles in the Constitution referred to by her include those in the Chapter on directive principles of State policy. She has also drawn attention to the Convention on the Rights of the Child adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 20-11-1989. The Convention, to which India is a signatory, emphasises, inter alia, securing the best interests of the child, social reintegration of child victims, etc.

15. She has also stated in the writ petition that the 2000 Act was passed by Parliament bearing in mind various standards prescribed in the Convention on the Rights of the Child, the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Administration of Juvenile Justice, 1985 (the Beijing Rules), the United Nations Rules for the Protection of Juveniles Deprived of Their Liberty, 1990 and other relevant international instruments.

16. The main burden of the writ petition is the failure of the State Governments to implement various provisions of the 2000 Act including, inter alia, the establishment of Child Welfare Committees, Juvenile Justice Boards, Special Juvenile Police Units, establishment of appropriate Homes for children in need of care and protection, improving the living conditions of juveniles in conflict with law, medical facilities for children in the custody of the State and several other human rights issues. It is on these broad facts and averments that relief was prayed for in public interest.

17. The writ petition also drew attention to surveys and researches conducted in 2004-2005 in various States but it is not necessary to refer to them in any great detail, notwithstanding their significance and importance, since they might have lost immediate relevance with the passage of time.

18. The prayer in the public interest litigation is to the effect that the Chief Secretaries and the Directors General of Police and Superintendents of Police of all the States should forthwith implement the 2000 Act in its true spirit.

19. There is also a prayer that all the respondent States be directed to provide basic amenities like nutritious food, proper and hygienic accommodation, educational facilities, recreational facilities and rehabilitation centres for juveniles in various Homes and to direct the Collectors of each district to involve reputed NGOs in implementing the orders of this Court.

20. The 2000 Act has since been repealed and what is now in force is the JJ Act. The repeal of the 2000 Act does not at all change the sum and substance of the reliefs claimed in the public interest litigation. As such this petition though filed way back in 2005 is not infructuous, the issues raised being very much topical and alive even today.

h

Proceedings in this Court

21. The writ petition was first taken up for consideration on 26-9-2005 when notices were issued² to all the respondents (Union of India and States). After service of notice (which itself took about one year!) the matter was taken up on 3-1-2007 and it was observed that the prayer³ in the writ petition was

² *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 26-9-2005 (SC), wherein it was directed:

“Issue notice.”

³ *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 3-1-2007 (SC), wherein it was directed:

“1. This petition has been filed seeking issuance of appropriate directions to the Central Government as also to the Chief Secretaries and Director Generals of Police and other authorities of the respondent States to forthwith implement the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 (for short “the Act”) in its true letter and spirit. The petition highlights some of the provisions of the Act which have not been implemented despite number of years having elapsed in the process. It deserves to be noticed at the very outset that it was more than 20 years ago that this Court, concerned with the plight of the children, development of their personality and the appalling conditions in jails, issued various directions. Even in the year 1986, this Court expressed regret that despite statutory provisions and frequent exhortations by social scientists, large number of children are lodged in number of jails. It was observed that even if children are accused of offences, they must not be kept in jails. Rejecting the argument that there are not enough number of homes or observation homes or other places where children can be kept is the reason why they are lodged in jails, in *Sheela Barse v. Union of India*, (1988) 4 SCC 226, this Court reiterated the earlier decision dated 13-8-1986 reported in (1986) 3 SCC 632. The Government were again impressed upon to set up the necessary mechanism i.e. remand homes, observation homes, etc. for lodging children. It was, inter alia, directed that in each district there should be one Juvenile Court. Other directions of far-reaching impact so as to preserve, promote and help in development of the personality of the children, a national asset, were issued. The Central Legislation, namely, the Act was enacted which received the assent of the President of India more than six years ago i.e. on 30-12-2000. It was enacted with a view to consolidate and amend the law relating to juveniles, inter alia, providing for proper care, protection and treatment by catering to the development needs of the children and adopting a child-friendly approach in the matter.

2. In the writ petition, details have been given showing that in a very few number of the districts in most of the respondent States, the requisite committees/boards have been constituted. In short, the grievance is that the provisions of the Act remain to be implemented in most of the States in true letter and spirit. The petitions sets out the details as to the number of Welfare Committees and Juvenile Boards, etc. that have been set up in a very few of the districts in the States. It is also averred that according to the study, the special juvenile home for boys at Hoshiyarpur and observation home for boys at Faridkot in Punjab is analogous to a prison where uniformed and armed policemen guard the home. It is stated that in Maharashtra, in

for forthwith implementation of the 2000 Act in its true letter and spirit and

a

(footnote 3 *contd.*)

the observation home at Beed, the children are kept confined to a cell and are only allowed to relieve themselves in the cell for which they are provided with plastic bottle. In the homes at Muzaffarpur and Darbhanga in Bihar the children cook their own food and clean their utensils as no cook has been appointed and in case they do not cook they have no other option but to starve. It is also mentioned that the homes have only one toilet with snakes loitering around. Further, the Muzaffarpur Home does not have electricity for the last six months. It is stated that this is an absolute violation of their right to live with dignity under Article 21 of the Constitution and other statutory rights under the Act.

b

c

3. Section 4 of the Act provides for constitution of the Juvenile Justice Board, Section 8 for observation homes, Section 9 for special homes and Section 37 provides for shelter homes. Section 62 requires the Central, State, District and City Advisory Boards to be set up and Section 62-A mandatorily requires every State Government to constitute a child protection unit in the State and such units for every district so as to take up matters relating to children in need of care and protection and juveniles in conflict with law with a view to ensure implementation of the Act including the establishment and maintenance of homes, notification of competent authorities in relation to these children and their rehabilitation and coordination with various official and non-official agencies concerned.

d

e

4. We may note that some of the aforesaid provisions were amended by Act 33 of 2006 with effect from 22-8-2006. By the amendment, certain outer time-frame has been fixed for constitution of some of the boards, homes, etc. It is also true that the time allowable by those amendments is still not over. At the same time, it is to be kept in mind that the right of development and right of dignity are guaranteed to children under Article 21 of the Constitution. In *Sheela Barse's* case (*supra*) this Court only reiterated the rights of the children. Those rights received statutory recognition by enactment of a consolidated Act in 2000. They further received statutory recognition by fixation of an outer time-limit for placing in position the mechanisms under the various provisions of the Act. It does not necessarily mean that the States or the authorities must wait till the end to constitute the boards/homes and other required places for children till the time is over. Furthermore, the question is not only of the sanction but to see to it that the provisions are implemented in letter and spirit. The Act requires the Central Government to effectively and properly monitor the state of affairs in the State Governments. The counter-affidavit filed by the Union of India notices that the Act is aimed to enable increased accessibility and faster relief to a juvenile or the Committees and Homes in each district or group of districts. Though the affidavit filed in October 2006 refers to the establishment of committees and homes in a group of districts but it has to be borne in mind that the term "group of districts" stands deleted from the Act by the Amendment Act in 2006 aboverereferred.

f

g

h

5. The affidavit realises the speedy implementation of the provisions of the Act. Though reference is made in the counter-affidavit to a letter dated 22-2-2001 written to all the Chief Secretaries of all the State Governments intimating about

that the petition highlighted some provisions of the said Act which had not been implemented despite a number of years having elapsed. It was noted that the writ petition highlights the horrible conditions in some Homes for children and that this was a violation of Article 21 of the Constitution. Under these circumstances, the Court required detailed affidavits to be filed by the respondent States through the Chief Secretary of each State.

22. Reference was made in the order dated 3-1-2007³ to *Sheela Barse (2) v. Union of India*⁴ which also dealt with abandoned or destitute children lodged in various jails across the country for “safe custody”. It was noted in that decision that the National Policy for the Welfare of Children contained the following Preamble: [*Sheela Barse (2) case*⁴, SCC p. 633, para 1]

“1. ... ‘The nation’s children are a supremely important asset. Their nurture and solicitude are our responsibility. Children’s programmes should find a prominent part in our national plans for the development of human resources, so that our children grow up to become robust citizens, physically fit, mentally alert and morally healthy, endowed with the skill and motivations needed by society. Equal opportunities for development of all children during the period of growth should be our aim, for this

(footnote 3 *contd.*)

the actions required to be taken, it does appear that there is acute need to ensure the implementation effectively. In the counter-affidavit, it is further stated that it is for the respondent State Governments concerned to comment on the issues of non-implementation of the Act. The approach of the ministry, namely, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India, in this matter has to be different as is evident from the new section inserted by Amendment Act, 2006, namely, Section 62-A, which is referred to above. We do not know whether the Central Government has constituted the Advisory Board as required by Section 62 of the Act and, if so constituted, what steps have been taken by the Board till date.

6. In short, we wish to make it clear that it is not only the enactment of laws but the implementation thereof in letter and spirit which is absolutely necessary having regard to the provisions of the Act and Article 21 of the Constitution in relation to the children. Under these circumstances, we direct the Chief Secretaries of the respondent States to file detailed affidavits stating as to what steps have already been taken in this regard; what Boards/Committees/Homes are already in position; in how many districts they are working; how many children are there in those Homes or Boards; what are the steps to be taken for establishing the remaining Boards/Homes and also for implementing the various provisions of the Act in true letter and spirit. The affidavits shall be filed by the Chief Secretaries within four weeks of the receipt of a copy of this order. Likewise, we direct the Central Government to file a detailed affidavit of the Secretary of the aforesaid Ministry in terms of what is noted above within a period of four weeks from today. The affidavit would disclose in detail the manner in which the Ministry has monitored the implementation of the Act by the State Governments. List the petition after six weeks.”

4 (1986) 3 SCC 632 : 1986 SCC (Cri) 352

would serve our large purpose of reducing inequality and ensuring social justice.’ ”

- a **23.** The Court noted in that decision that if a child is a national asset (as per the National Policy), it is the duty of the State to look after the child with a view to ensuring full development of the personality and that is why statutes dealing with children provide that a child shall not be kept in jail. It was directed that on no occasion should children be kept in jail and if a State Government does not have sufficient accommodation in its remand homes or observation homes for children, they should be released on bail instead of being subjected to incarceration in jail.

- b **24.** It was also emphasised that Juvenile Courts should be set up in each district and there must be a special cadre of Magistrates who are suitably trained for dealing with cases against children. Some other directions were also issued but they arise out of the Children’s Act and are presently not relevant. Reference was also made in the order to *Sheela Barse v. Union of India*⁵ wherein this Court reiterated its decision referred to above.

- c **25.** It was noted that Juvenile Justice Boards (for short “JJBs”) and Child Welfare Committees (for short “CWCs”) under the 2000 Act have been constituted in very few districts. It was also noted that some observation homes are like prisons with uniformed or armed police.

- d **26.** After a few subsequent hearings and completion of pleadings, the Court implemented the National Commission for Protection of Child Rights (NCPCR) by an order dated 14-2-2011⁶ and the National Legal Services Authority (for

5 (1988) 4 SCC 226

- e 6 *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 14-2-2011 (SC), wherein it was directed:

“1. On 17-1-2011, we had directed [*Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 17-1-2011 (SC)] the Union of India to give a consolidated figure in regard to various States. The position, as disclosed from the report of the petitioner and the affidavit filed on behalf of the Union of India are as follows.

- f ***Re. State of Himachal Pradesh***

- g 2. The State has 12 districts. It has constituted Juvenile Justice Boards (“JJBs”, for short) in 11 districts and has constituted Child Welfare Committees (“CWCs”, for short) in all the 12 districts. The learned counsel for the State of H.P. submitted that in the remaining one district, the JJB has not been constituted because that hill district has very sparse population and no juvenile delinquency and that if and when the State considers that district requires a JJB, it will take steps to constitute the same. On the facts and circumstances, we proceed on the basis that there is broad compliance with the requirements of the Juvenile Justice Act, 2000 (“the Act”, for short) insofar as establishment of JJBs and CWCs is concerned.

Re. State of Madhya Pradesh

- h 3. There are 50 districts in the State of M.P. The affidavit of the Union of India shows that in 48 districts, the State has constituted JJBs and CWCs. The learned counsel for the State states that the remaining two districts are newly carved out

450 SUPREME COURT CASES (2018) 4 SCC
short “NALSA”) by an order dated 11-7-2011⁷. In the hearing on 19-8-2011

_____ a
(footnote 6 *contd.*)

districts and the State is taking steps to constitute JJBs and CWCs in these districts also within a period of four months. In view of the said submission, we are of the view that there is broad compliance insofar as State of M.P. is concerned in regard to establishment of JJBs and CWCs.

Re. State of Orissa b

4. The affidavit of the Union of India shows that the State has constituted JJBs and CWCs in all the thirty districts and, therefore, there is broad compliance with that requirement.

Re. State of Karnataka c

5. There are 30 districts in the State. The latest affidavit of the Union of India as also the affidavit filed by the State in April 2000, show that JJBs are constituted only in 8 districts and in the remaining districts they are not constituted. Insofar as CWCs are concerned, we find that they are constituted in all the districts. There is no explanation forthcoming in regard to non-constitution of JJBs in the remaining 22 districts. In view of the above, we finally grant three months' time to the State to constitute JJBs in the remaining 22 districts and file an affidavit in that behalf.

Re. State of Uttarakhand d

6. There are 13 districts in the State and the affidavit of Union of India shows that JJBs are constituted in all the 13 districts whereas CWCs have been constituted only in 9 districts. It is submitted on behalf of the State that CWCs will be constituted in the remaining 4 districts within four months. We accept the same that there is broad compliance with the requirement of the Act relating to JJBs and CWCs.

Common directions e

7. Having regard to the provisions of the Act, setting up of the JJBs and CWCs is only a preliminary step. The Act contemplates setting up of observation homes, special homes, children homes, shelter homes, special juvenile police units, etc. Further, setting up of JJBs and CWCs and merely following the letter instead of object and spirit of the Act will not be sufficient. It would, therefore, be appropriate if the National Commission for Protection of Child Rights (“NCPCR”, for short), which is already concerned with these matters, is also involved in the implementation of the provisions of the Act. We, therefore, direct NCPCR to be impleaded as the respondent. Ms Anil Katiyar, learned counsel, submitted that she is instructed to appear on behalf of NCPCR. She appears and takes notice and waives further notice. We request NCPCR to give a report in regard to the various steps that have to be taken to implement the provisions of the Act and also to suggest and make appropriate applications for issuing further directions in the matter. List after four weeks for considering the compliance by the States of Arunachal Pradesh, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Pondicherry and Andaman and Nicobar Islands.” f

⁷ *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 11-7-2011 (SC), wherein it was directed: g

“The petitioner to implead National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) as party-respondent. Issue notice returnable in two weeks to NALSA represented by its Member-Secretary to assist the Court in regard to the subject-matter of this petition h

quite a few suggestions were made by NALSA in respect of child rights. We will consider these submissions at the appropriate stage.

- a **27.** During the course of hearing, the Court lamented the confusion and uncertainty about the availability of statistics relating to the number of juveniles in conflict with law in each district and details of such children. This made it difficult to make an effective plan for providing legal aid or providing appropriate Homes. The State Legal Services Authorities were therefore required to collect data and NALSA was required to make data available to
- b the Court for issuing further directions. The Court also observed that CWCs and JJBs are not functional or not constituted in every district. Accordingly the State Legal Services Authorities were requested to coordinate with the appropriate Department in the State Government to ensure that CWCs and JJBs are established as per the 2000 Act.

- c **28.** Pursuant to the order dated 19-8-2011⁸ a detailed and excellent Report was prepared by NALSA in three parts on the existing facilities for implementation of the 2000 Act, with the month and year of reporting being August 2011.

- d **29.** When the case was again taken up on 12-10-2011 the Court reiterated the importance of the directions passed on 19-8-2011⁸ and also added focus to setting up Special Juvenile Police Units under Section 63 of the 2000 Act. Directions⁹ were given in this regard to the Home Department and the Director General of Police of all the States and Union Territories to ensure that there is at least one police officer in every police station who has the necessary aptitude and is given appropriate training and orientation to function as a Juvenile or Child Welfare Officer. The State Legal Services Authorities and NALSA
- e were requested to provide necessary training and orientation in phases to such officers.

- f **30.** The Home Department and the Directors General of Police were also directed to ensure that Special Juvenile Police Units are set up in every district and city to coordinate and upgrade the treatment of juveniles in conflict with law and children in need of care and protection as required by sub-section (3) of Section 63 of the 2000 Act.

31. When the case was taken up for consideration on 11-9-2015 by the Social Justice Bench of this Court, it was noticed¹⁰ that the Union of India had

(footnote 7 *contd.*)

- g (Child Rights). List after two weeks. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is permitted to file a status report in regard to the position in Delhi.”

8 *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, (2011) 15 SCC 232 : (2012) 4 SCC (Cri) 580

9 *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, (2011) 9 SCC 801 : (2011) 3 SCC (Cri) 916

10 *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 11-9-2015 (SC), wherein it was directed:

- h “We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the affidavit filed by Mr Naveen Yadav on 31-7-2015 and the affidavit filed by Mr Ashi Kapoor on 9-9-2015. From Annexure II of the affidavit filed by Mr Naveen Yadav,

filed affidavits on 31-7-2015 and 9-9-2015. From a reading of these affidavits, it

(footnote 10 *contd.*)

it appears that for the State of Assam (which has been taken as an illustrative State) the number of boys and girls available in homes run by NGOs are in quite a large number. It appears that these homes are not registered under the provisions of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 (for short “the Act”). We have expressed our concern to the learned Additional Solicitor General and he shares our concern viz. that there is a possibility of trafficking of children if they are kept in unregistered homes and/or with unregistered NGOs. The learned Additional Solicitor General says that the Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India is alive to the problem and has already initiated steps to ensure that the homes run by NGOs are registered and he says that the process will be completed in a few months’ time. The Ministry of Women and Child Development will coordinate with all the State Governments/Union Territories to ensure that the unregistered homes are not given any funds unless they are registered. The State Governments/Union Territories will ensure that children in unregistered homes should be shifted to registered homes. Needless to say, we expect full cooperation of the State Governments and Union Territories, particularly the Women and Child Department of these Governments as well as the police to ensure that unregistered NGO homes do not house children since it may involve issues of trafficking also. It is for this reason the matter has to be taken up very seriously and the learned Additional Solicitor General assures us that the matter will be taken up seriously. A copy of this order be circulated to the Secretary in the Department of Women and Child Development (or corresponding Department) of all the States/Union Territories for information and compliance. The circulation be done by the Registry as also by the Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India. The chart relating to the State of Assam, which is Annexure II of the affidavit of Mr Naveen Yadav, is a sample chart, as mentioned above. Similar charts will be prepared with regard to all the States and Union Territories and will be brought to Court, as and when required. With regard to the issue of Probationary Officers, NALSA had given a report and we are told by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of NALSA that the training curriculum for Probationary Officers will be finalised positively by 31-12-2015. NALSA will also have a fresh look at the requirement of Probationary Officers in all the States and Union Territories. The learned Additional Solicitor General says that he will personally be in touch with NALSA to try to suggest some solutions to ensure that there are adequate number of Probationary Officers in all the States and Union Territories. In this regard also, we expect all the State Governments and the Union Territories, particularly the Women and Child Departments of these Governments to extend full cooperation and assistance to the Government of India. If there is any recalcitrance on the part of the State Governments or the Union Territories, the Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India may be in touch with the Chief Secretary of the State Government/Union Territory concerned and if still there is no positive response, it should be brought to the notice of this Court. To follow up on the discussions that the learned Additional Solicitor General proposes to have with NALSA, list the matter on 9-10-2015. The Registry should not accept any affidavits other than those filed by the Union of India, or under our specific directions.”

a

b

c

d

e

f

g

h

a appeared that a large number of Homes were not registered under the provisions of the 2000 Act. Since this was a matter of concern (with a possibility of trafficking of children), the learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the Union of India was requested to look into the matter and he submitted that the Union of India had initiated steps to ensure that the Homes run by NGOs get registered under the 2000 Act in a few months' time.

b **32.** Soon thereafter, the JJ Act was passed by Parliament and brought into force on 15-1-2016. The JJ Act brought in several changes in the juvenile justice regime but the substratum of the petition filed by Sampurna Behura remained unaffected.

c **33.** Her PIL was taken up on 15-2-2016 in the above background and after hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through various orders passed by this Court from time to time, the following issues were identified¹¹ as needing serious consideration and deliberation:

- c 1. Constitution of State Child Protection Society.
2. Constitution of State Commissions for the Protection of Child Rights.
3. Establishment of Juvenile Justice Boards (JJBs) in every district (if necessary more than one in some districts) and their training.
- d 4. Establishment of Child Welfare Committees (CWCs) and their training.
5. Appointment of Probation Officers and their training.
6. Establishment of Special Juvenile Police Units in every police station, their training and updating the Police Training Manual.
- e 7. Provision for legal aid lawyers and their training.
8. Proper selection of members of JJBs and CWCs.
9. Assessment of manpower requirements of JJBs and CWCs and filling up the vacancies.
10. Furnishing of online quarterly reports by the State Governments.
- f 11. Significance of Social Investigation Report.
12. Principal Magistrates should exclusively deal with juvenile justice inquiries.
13. Registration of child care institutions.
14. Improvement of living conditions in government-run child care institutions.
- g 15. Establishment of Juvenile Justice Fund.

h **34.** Thereafter, the matter was taken up on 9-5-2016 when the learned Additional Solicitor General stated that the process of collecting and updating information online was underway in MWCD and was proceeding at a fast pace and that he expected it to be completed very soon. He also stated that the names of persons in various positions, such as members of JJBs and CWCs

¹¹ *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 15-2-2016 (SC)

would be placed on the website of MWCD so that it would be easy to contact them whenever necessary. The learned Additional Solicitor General stated that the assistance of the State Governments and the Union Territories was required for completing the project. Accordingly this Court directed¹² the State Governments and the Union Territories to render necessary assistance to the Union of India for updating the information and keeping it updated from time to time.

a

35. As far as NALSA is concerned, it submitted on record a copy of the Training Module for Legal Services Lawyers and Probation Officers but it was suggested by the learned Senior Counsel appearing for NALSA that since the Modules were prepared on the basis of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Rules, 2007 NALSA would conduct some pilot training programmes to ascertain their efficacy and subsequently bring them in line with the new Rules to be framed under the JJ Act.

b

36. In the hearing on 22-8-2016¹³ the Union of India was required to consider the feasibility of urgently providing computers and internet connectivity to the Juvenile Justice Boards and Child Welfare Committees.

c

¹² *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 9-5-2016 (SC), wherein it was directed:

d

“The learned Additional Solicitor General says that the process of updating the information online is proceeding at a fast pace and he expects it to be completed very soon. He says that the names of the persons in position, for example, members of the Juvenile Justice Boards, members of the Child Welfare Committees, etc. will also be placed on the website of the Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India so that it will be easy to contact the persons, wherever necessary. The learned Additional Solicitor General says that the assistance of the State Governments and the Union Territories is required in this project. We direct the Secretary in the Ministry/Department of Women and Children in all the State Governments and the Union Territories to render all necessary assistance to the Union of India for updating the information and keeping it up-to-date from time to time. NALSA has filed a copy of the Training Module for Legal Services Lawyers and Probation Officers attached to the Juvenile Justice Boards under the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015. Ms Indu Malhotra, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of NALSA says that this Report has been prepared on the basis of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Rules, 2007, but she says that NALSA is willing to conduct some pilot training programmes to ascertain the usefulness of the Training Module and also to subsequently bring it in line with the new Rules, as and when framed by the Government of India. List the matter on 29-7-2016.”

e

f

g

¹³ *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 22-8-2016 (SC), wherein it was directed:

“The learned counsel for the Union of India will take instructions on the feasibility of urgently providing computers and internet connectivity to the Juvenile Justice Boards and Child Welfare Committee. List the matter on 29-8-2016.”

h

a 37. The matter was again taken up on 17-2-2017¹⁴ by which time considerable progress had been made by MWCD in the online collection of information to the extent that a Central Level Monitoring Format had been prepared which could easily be filled up by the States and Union Territories for providing full information which could be collated.

b 38. We also required, in addition to the collated information, that the State Commission for Protection of Child Rights (SCPCR) should be in place in every State and Union Territory. It may be mentioned that SCPCR is a body constituted under the provisions of the Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005 with a variety of functions as detailed in Section 13 of the said Act.

39. On 5-4-2017¹⁵ and on 11-7-2017¹⁶ we noted the information made available on the composition and constitution of the SCPCRS and

c

14 *Sampurna Behrura v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 17-2-2017 (SC), wherein it was directed:

“List the matter for final arguments on 5-4-2017. In the meanwhile, the Union of India will ensure that the State Commission for Protection of Child Rights is in place in every State/Union Territory. The learned Additional Solicitor General appearing on behalf of the Ministry of Women and Child Development has shown us a copy of the Central Level Monitoring Format submitted by the State of Gujarat. He says that a master format has been prepared and, as per instructions, data has been compiled for 17 States and is being compiled for other States. The Ministry of Women and Child Development should be in touch with all the States/Union Territories to ensure that the data is fully compiled before the next date of hearing.”

d

e 15 *Sampurna Behrura v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 5-4-2017 (SC), wherein it was directed:

“1. The learned Additional Solicitor General has brought to our notice the following status report as on 5-4-2017 in respect of the State Commission for Child Rights.

1. Andhra Pradesh

f

2. Six members joined in the month of February 2014 but Chairperson had not joined. The term of 3 years of the existing members in SCPCR had expired in the month of February 2017. Hence, the action is under process for appointment of Chairperson and Members of A.P. SCPCR.

2. Assam

g

3. The process for appointment of Chairperson and 4 members of the Commission is under process and will be completed shortly. At present Smt Runumi Gogoi, Member of the Commission is temporarily holding the charge of the Chairperson.

3. Bihar

h

4. The State Government notified the selection of the following Chairperson and Members on the SCPCR vide Notifications Nos. 362 and 363 respectively dated 21-2-2014:

(i) Mrs Nisha Jha, Chairperson

on an overall view of the matter it was found that a large number of

(footnote 15 *contd.*)

(ii) Mrs Aparna Singh, Member

(iii) Mrs Lalita Jaiswal, Member

(iv) Dr Nishichandra Kinjalk, Member

(v) Mr Arun Kumar Verma, Member

(vi) Mr Satyendra Kumar Gautam, Member

5. In the year 2016, the Chairperson and members appointed vide Notifications Nos. 362 and 363 resigned voluntarily on 17-5-2016 and the same has been accepted by the State Government. Currently, the administrative functions of the Commission are being looked after by the Member-Secretary of the SCPCR. Selection of Chairperson and members is under process presently.

4. Chandigarh

Ms Harjinder Kaur : Chairperson : 16-3-2017 : For a period of 3 years

Note: The two members of the Chandigarh Commission for Protection of Child Rights have been appointed vide Notification No. SW3/SCPCR/2014/11607 dated 10-12-2014 for a period of 3 years. The term of the members is likely to expire on 9-12-2017.

5. Delhi

6. The Department of WCD, GNCTD has already published an advertisement in the leading newspapers on 22-12-2016 to fill up the vacancies of the Chairperson and Members of the Delhi Commission for Protection of Child Rights (DCPCR). The meeting of the Selection Committee was held on 1-3-2017 under the Chairmanship of Minister (WCD)/Dy. CM for the post of Chairperson, DCPCR. The file has been sent to the Lt. Governor, Govt. of NCT of Delhi for approval of the appointment of selected candidate for the post of Chairperson, DCPCR. The process for appointment of Member, DCPCR is under process.

6. Goa

7. Response awaited.

7. Gujarat

8. The State Government has constituted Gujarat State Commission for Protection of Child Rights vide Notification dated 28-9-2012. The State Government has appointed Smt Jagrutiben Harenbhai Pandya as a Chairperson for the Gujarat State Commission for Protection of Child Rights vide Notification dated 13-1-2016 for three years' tenure. Appointment of members for the commission is under consideration.

8. Kerala

9. The Kerala State Commission for Protection of Child Rights came into existence on 3-6-2013 consisting of a Chairperson and six other members for a period of 3 years. Smt Shobha Koshy is the present Chairperson of the Commission who was appointed on 6-3-2015 for a period of 3 years and the term of the other members expired on 7-1-2017. Subsequent steps are being taken to fill up the vacancies and the process is still continuing.

vacancies existed and that little or nothing was being done by some

a

(footnote 15 *contd.*)

9. Madhya Pradesh

b

Mr Raghvendra Sharma	Chairperson	completed by March 2019
Mrs Nirmla Barela	Members	completed by February 2020

Note: The rest of the vacancies will be filled as early as possible.

10. Maharashtra

c

10. The process of appointment of Chairperson and six members of the State Commission for Protection of Child Rights are at final stage. At present, the additional charge of the Chairman, Maharashtra State Commission for Protection of Child Rights is given to the Secretary, Women and Child Development Department.

11. Odisha

d

Smt Kasturi Mohapatra	Chairperson	26-3-2015	25-3-2018
Mrs Rajalaxmi Das	Member	5-1-2015	4-1-2018
Ms Sandhyabati Pradhan	Member	5-1-2015	4-1-2018

11. Out of 6 members, 2 members are present and steps have already been taken for filling up of the vacant positions of Members of Odisha Commission for Protection of Child Rights.

e

12. Tamil Nadu

f

<i>Vacant</i>	<i>Chairperson</i>		
Thiru P. Sundarapariipooran	Member	5-3-2014	4-3-2017
Thiru S. Mouleeswaran	Member	12-3-2014	11-3-2017
Thiru M. Ramachandran	Member	7-3-2014	6-3-2017
Thiru C. Selvakumar	Member	5-3-2014	4-3-2017
Tmt. T. Jayanthi Rani	Member	6-3-2014	5-3-2017
Dr A.D. Revathy	Member	5-3-2014	4-3-2017

Note: Tmt Saraswathi Rangasami, Chennai was appointed as Chairperson to SCPCR vide GOMs No. 02, SW & NMP Deptt. dated 2-1-2013. Her tenure expired on 17-1-2016 and the post is vacant at present.

g

12. The Secretary, SCPCR has called for application for filling up of the post of Chairperson through press release and had sent the applications received by the Commission to the Government for necessary action. After a thorough scrutiny and after a careful consideration of the qualifications and experience of the eligible candidates, based on the recommendation of the Selection Committee, the Government shall appoint a person of eminence who has done outstanding work for promoting the welfare of the children as Chairperson of Tamil Nadu Commission for Protection of Child Rights.

h

458 SUPREME COURT CASES (2018) 4 SCC
 of the State Governments to fill them up or to show any urgency

a

(footnote 15 *contd.*)

13. Telangana

13. The Government of Telangana have issued orders for constitution of the State Commission for protection of Child Rights vide GOMs No. 11, Department for Women, Children, Disabled & Senior Citizens, dated 25-11-2014 with a Chairperson and 6 members, out of which 2 shall be women and rules were also framed vide GOMs No. 3, Department for Women, Children, Disabled & Senior Citizens dated 20-1-2015. Notification was also issued inviting applications from the eligible candidates for appointment of Chairperson and members of the Commission. The appointment of the Chairperson and members of the Commission is under process.

b

c

14. Tripura

Smt Rupa Ganguly	Chairperson	24-2-2014	24-2-2017
Shri Paramartha Datta	Member	24-2-2014	24-2-2017
Dr Sanjib Debbarma	Member	24-2-2014	24-2-2017
Smt Indira Dhar	Member	24-2-2014	24-2-2017
Smt Padma Datta Gupta	Member	24-2-2014	24-2-2017
Shri Pramod Ranjan Das	Member	24-2-2014	24-2-2017
Smt Rita Debbarma	Member	24-2-2014	24-2-2017

d

Note: The process for reconstitution of Tripura State Commission for Protection of Child Rights (TCPCR) has been initiated and would be notified shortly.

e

15. Uttarakhand

Shri Yogender Khanduri	Chairperson	20-5-2015	
Smt Beena Joshi	Member	7-2-2014	6-2-2017
Shri Aashish Uniyal	Member	21-2-2014	20-2-2017
Smt Anima Halder	Member	5-3-2014	4-3-2017
Smt Jaya Mishra	Member	6-2-2014	5-2-2017
Dr Zeeshan Ali	Member	12-2-2014	11-2-2017
Shri Shailendra Shekhar Kargeti	Member	16-9-2015	

f

14. It is obvious from the above that there are 14 States that are in clear violation of Section 18 of the Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005. Under the circumstances, these State Governments should fill up all the vacancies of the Chairperson/Members under the Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005 within four weeks from today subject to payment of costs of Rs 50,000 to be deposited by each State Government within three weeks with the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee for utilisation of juvenile justice issues. It is made clear that in case the appointments are not made, we may require the presence of the Secretary of the Women and Child Development Department in the State Government concerned to explain the difficulty in doing so and for violating the law. We may record the fact that the statute referred to is a parliamentary law and

g

h

in respect of protection of the rights of children. Subsequently, on

a

(footnote 15 *contd.*)

b the provisions thereof must be adhered to by the State Governments. List the matter on 11-7-2017.”

16 *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 11-7-2017 (SC), wherein it was directed:

“Andhra Pradesh

c 1. The Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development is present in the Court today. The costs have been paid in terms of order dated 5-4-2017 [*Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 5-4-2017 (SC)] but SCPCR has not yet been constituted. She says that another three weeks’ time is required for the constitution of the SCPCR. Time sought for is granted subject to further payment of costs of Rs 50,000 which should be deposited in the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee within two weeks from today for utilisation of juvenile justice issues.

d

Assam

e 2. The learned counsel says that the SCPCR has been constituted but he is unable to inform us about the Chairperson or Members of the SCPCR. He says that an affidavit will be filed within a week. The Registry is directed to accept the affidavit subject to payment of costs of Rs 25,000. Costs be paid within one week from today. Costs in terms of our order dated 5-4-2017 has been paid.

e

Bihar

3. The SCPCR has been constituted on 3-5-2017 and costs have been paid in terms of order dated 5-4-2017.

Chandigarh

f 4. There is no appearance on behalf of the Union Territory of Chandigarh. There is no intimation whether the SCPCR is constituted. Costs in terms of our order dated 5-4-2017 have also not been paid. For this totally unnecessary adjournment, the Union Territory of Chandigarh will pay further costs of Rs 50,000 for no intimation of whether the SCPCR has been constituted and Rs 50,000 for not paying the costs in terms of our earlier order. In other words, the Union Territory of Chandigarh will pay additional costs of Rs 1,00,000 in addition to the costs of Rs 50,000 imposed earlier. The deposit be made within four weeks from today. The Secretary of the Department of Women and Child Development should be present in the Court on the next date of hearing.

g

Delhi

h 5. Costs have been paid in terms of our earlier order. The learned counsel is unable to tell us whether the SCPCR is constituted or not. She says that she has no instructions in this regard. For this unnecessary adjournment, costs of Rs 50,000 is imposed on the Union Territory of Delhi. The Secretary in the Department of Women and Child Development should be present on the next date of hearing.

15-11-2017¹⁷ we required MWCD to inform us of the amounts lying in the Juvenile Justice Fund in each State and Union Territory.

a

(footnote 16 *contd.*)

Goa

b

6. It is stated by the learned counsel that the SCPCR was formed on 27-3-2015 and costs have also been paid in terms of order dated 5-4-2017.

Gujarat

7. It is stated by the learned counsel that the SCPCR has been constituted and costs have been paid in terms of order dated 5-4-2017.

Kerala

c

8. The SCPCR has been constituted on 29-4-2017 and costs have been paid in terms of order dated 5-4-2017.

Madhya Pradesh

9. Costs have been paid in terms of our earlier order. The SCPCR has not yet been constituted. Four weeks' time, as sought for, is granted for constituting the SCPCR subject to payment of costs of Rs 50,000 to the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee within four weeks from today for utilisation of juvenile justice issues. The Secretary in the Department of Women and Child Development should be present on the next date of hearing.

d

Maharashtra

10. It is stated by the learned counsel that the SCPCR has been constituted on 20-5-2017 and costs have been paid in terms of order dated 5-4-2017.

e

Odisha

11. There is no intimation whether the SCPCR has been constituted. Costs in terms of our order dated 5-4-2017 have also not been paid. For this totally unnecessary adjournment, the State of Odisha will pay additional costs of Rs 1,00,000 in addition to the costs of Rs 50,000 imposed earlier. The deposit be made within four weeks from today. The Secretary of the Department of Women and Child Department should be present in the Court on the next date of hearing.

f

Tamil Nadu

12. It is stated by the learned counsel that the SCPCR has been constituted on 8-5-2017 and costs have been paid.

Telangana

13. Costs have been paid. The SCPCR has been constituted on 10-7-2017 without Chairperson. Four weeks' time, as sought for, is granted subject to further payment of Rs 15,000 to the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee within four weeks from today for utilisation of juvenile justice issues.

g

Tripura

14. Since the SCPCR was already constituted on 1-4-2017, costs imposed earlier on the State of Tripura are therefore waived. It was not brought to our notice that the SCPCR has already been constituted.

h

40. With all this information available on record in some form or the other, we heard the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties on 20-11-2017
a and reserved judgment¹⁸.

41. We have gone into detail with regard to some of the more important proceedings that have taken place in the matter from the time when the public interest litigation was instituted only to highlight various dimensions to the problems faced by children and the casual approach that most State Governments and Union Territories have towards the rights of children. It is
b easy to forget that children also deserve dignified treatment and merely because they have no voice in the affairs of State, it does not mean that they are inconsequential members of society who can be compelled to live in conditions that are uncomfortable (to say the least) and who have little or no access to justice.

c **Affidavits filed by MWCD**

42. During the progress of the case, MWCD filed a few status reports and affidavits.

43. In an affidavit filed on 31-7-2015 the status of an online Central-Level Monitoring System being implemented by MWCD was indicated. Briefly,
d each State and Union Territory is given a login ID and password to access the formats, feed data and submit reports to MWCD. Very broadly, the areas covered by the reports are Homes and Children, Open Shelters, Specialised Adoption Agencies, Non-Institutional Care, Child Welfare Committees, Juvenile Justice Boards and Service Delivery Structures. This is a very forward-looking step and a major attempt to collect information so that the JJ Act could
e

f _____
(footnote 16 *contd.*)

Uttarakhand

15. The SCPCR has been constituted on 25-2-2017 and costs have been paid. List the matter on 22-8-2017 on which date we will consider the computerisation of the JJBs and CWCs.”

g 17 *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 15-11-2017 (SC), wherein it was directed:

“On the request of the Union of India, list the matter on 20-11-2017. The learned counsel should also find out how much money is lying with Juvenile Justice Fund of each State and Union Territory.”

h 18 *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 20-11-2017 (SC), wherein it was directed:

“Exemption from filing official translation granted. Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.”

be effectively implemented and the planning process more structured rather than ad hoc.

44. MWCD filed another affidavit on 8-9-2015 which indicated requests made to States and Union Territories to adhere to the requirements of entering information in the software as required by the Central-Level Monitoring System. An indication was given in the affidavit regarding the extent of compliance. For the period 2014-2015 it was stated that while most States and Union Territories had provided the necessary information, as many as 7 States were not fully compliant. For the period 2015-2016 most States did not provide the necessary information. Those who did were Assam, Union Territory of Chandigarh, Chhattisgarh, Himachal Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Punjab, Tamil Nadu and Tripura.

45. MWCD filed a status Report on 4-12-2015 giving the details of Child Care Institutions and their registration as well as the availability of Probation Officers in the States and Union Territories. Unfortunately, as per the affidavit the status was quite unsatisfactory in the sense that not many Child Care Institutions had been registered and there was a serious shortage of Probation Officers. In a further affidavit of 12-2-2016 MWCD stated that steps were being taken for the registration of Child Care Institutions and it also indicated the role of a Probation Officer in the scheme of things.

46. In yet another affidavit filed by MWCD on 29-3-2016 a factual response was given with regard to the 15 issues identified by this Court on 15-2-2016¹¹. MWCD filed its final status Report on 3-5-2016 in which it was stated that some formats for information given in the Central-Level Monitoring System were added in view of the 15 issues identified by this Court.

47. In the affidavit dated 11-1-2017 it was disclosed by MWCD that the availability and use of computers and peripherals for juvenile justice issues was in quite a poor state. In fact, complete information in this regard was not made available to MWCD by the States and Union Territories.

48. In the final affidavit filed by MWCD it was disclosed that a National Consultation was held on 26-9-2017 and it appears from a reading of the affidavit that there is considerable improvement in the understanding of child rights and juvenile justice issues by the participants, but there is still a lot to be done. Unfortunately, the minutes of the National Consultation have not been placed on record.

Information and data provided by NALSA

49. As far as NALSA is concerned, it had carried out a remarkable study and placed on record a three part Report on issues pertaining to Juvenile Justice Boards, Child Welfare Committees and Homes under the 2000 Act. Even though the Reports prepared by NALSA are extremely useful, since they are now quite dated (with data up to August 2011) they are not being referred to in any detail.

¹¹ *Sampurna Behrua v. Union of India*, WP (C) No. 473 of 2005, order dated 15-2-2016 (SC)

a **50.** NALSA gave another Report on 20-7-2015 in which it was pointed out that a large number of inquiries are pending before the JJBs. It was pointed out that in Uttar Pradesh alone there are 34,569 inquiries pending and in District Durg in Chhattisgarh, there are 1883 inquiries pending before the JJBs. It was pointed out in the report that many of the JJBs did not sit on a regular basis with some sitting maybe once or twice a week. It was also pointed out that in some places the distance between the Observation Home and the JJB was considerable. It was submitted that there was a need for Probation Officers who would deal exclusively with juvenile justice issues.

b **51.** At this stage, it may be mentioned that in May 2016 a Training Module for Probation Officers was brought out by NALSA. This Training Module has since been utilised by NALSA and we have been given to understand that it has been found to be extremely useful and beneficial as a training guide.

c *Submissions made by the petitioner*

d **52.** On its part, the petitioner submitted a large number of steps that need to be taken to improve the lives of children in Child Care Institutions and enable them to live with dignity. Suggestions were also given by the petitioner on 10-9-2013, 25-9-2013 and 10-5-2016 on several aspects of child rights and juvenile justice. Most of these suggestions complement the suggestions given by NALSA.

Discussion, suggestions and recommendations

(i) *National and State Commissions for Protection of Child Rights*

e **53.** Child related laws enacted by Parliament provide for two extremely important policy and decision-making institutions in respect of children and child rights, namely, NCPCR and the SCPCRS. Similarly, two extremely important bodies have been provided for at the ground or grass-roots level for implementation of the JJ Act, namely, the State Child Protection Society and the District Child Protection Unit. In our opinion, if these institutions and bodies perform their duties as required by the laws made by Parliament, under the supervision and guidance of the State Government concerned and the Government of India, recognition and enforcement of child rights could actually become a reality in our country.

f **54.** The Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005 provides for the Central Government constituting a body to be known as the NCPCR at the National level and the State Governments constituting a body to be known as the SCPCR at the State level. The composition of the NCPCR is provided for in Section 3 of the statute while a similar composition of the SCPCR is provided for in Section 17 of the statute. Section 3 of the Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act reads as follows:

g **“3. Constitution of National Commission for Protection of Child Rights.**—(1) The Central Government shall, by notification, constitute a body to be known as the National Commission for Protection of Child Rights to exercise the powers conferred on, and to perform the functions assigned to it under this Act.

h

(2) The Commission shall consist of the following Members, namely—

(a) a Chairperson who is a person of eminence and has done outstanding work for promoting the welfare of children; and a

(b) six Members, out of which at least two shall be women, from the following fields, to be appointed by the Central Government from amongst persons of eminence, ability, integrity, standing and experience in—

(i) education;

(ii) child health, care, welfare or child development; b

(iii) juvenile justice or care of neglected or marginalised children or children with disabilities;

(iv) elimination of child labour or children in distress;

(v) child psychology or sociology; and

(vi) laws relating to children. c

(3) The office of the Commission shall be at Delhi.”

55. It is quite apparent that at the national level, the NCPCR is an institution consisting of eminent persons and experts in their respective fields. As such, they are expected to look at issues concerning the welfare of children in the national perspective taking into consideration the views of every SCPCR and other stakeholders. At the State level, the SCPCR is an equally significant body consisting of eminent persons and experts. They are expected to take policy decisions for the benefit of the children in their State, regardless of which State the children originally belong to, for there might be children of one State who are in need of care and protection but in a Child Care Institution of another State. d

56. The functions of the NCPCR and the SCPCR are more or less the same except that one performs these functions at the national level, while the other performs these functions at the State level. Section 13 of the Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005 details the functions of the NCPCR and this reads as follows: e

“13. Functions of Commission.—(1) The Commission shall perform all or any of the following functions, namely— f

(a) examine and review the safeguards provided by or under any law for the time being in force for the protection of child rights and recommend measures for their effective implementation;

(b) present to the Central Government, annually and at such other intervals, as the Commission may deem fit, reports upon the working of those safeguards; g

(c) inquire into violation of child rights and recommend initiation of proceedings in such cases;

(d) examine all factors that inhibit the enjoyment of rights of children affected by terrorism, communal violence, riots, natural disaster, domestic violence, HIV/AIDS, trafficking, maltreatment, torture and h

exploitation, pornography and prostitution and recommend appropriate remedial measures;

a (e) look into the matters relating to children in need of special care and protection including children in distress, marginalised and disadvantaged children, children in conflict with law, juveniles, children without family and children of prisoners and recommend appropriate remedial measures;

b (f) study treaties and other international instruments and undertake periodical review of existing policies, programmes and other activities on child rights and make recommendations for their effective implementation in the best interest of children;

(g) undertake and promote research in the field of child rights;

c (h) spread child rights literacy among various sections of the society and promote awareness of the safeguards available for protection of these rights through publications, the media, seminars and other available means;

d (i) inspect or cause to be inspected any juvenile custodial home, or any other place of residence or institution meant for children, under the control of the Central Government or any State Government or any other authority, including any institution run by a social organisation; where children are detained or lodged for the purpose of treatment, reformation or protection and take up with these authorities for remedial action, if found necessary;

d (j) inquire into complaints and take suo motu notice of matters relating to—

(i) deprivation and violation of child rights;

e (ii) non-implementation of laws providing for protection and development of children;

e (iii) non-compliance of policy decisions, guidelines or instructions aimed at mitigating hardships to and ensuring welfare of the children and to provide relief to such children,

or take up the issues arising out of such matters with appropriate authorities; and

f (k) such other functions as it may consider necessary for the promotion of child rights and any other matter incidental to the above functions.

(2) The Commission shall not inquire into any matter which is pending before a State Commission or any other Commission duly constituted under any law for the time being in force.”

g **57.** It will be seen from the above that both the NCPCR and the SCPCR have a range and variety of functions to perform and each one of them entails a great deal of responsibility. We have been given to understand that both the Government of India and the State Governments have not been giving these bodies the freedom to decide on broad policy matters and indeed in some instances, particularly relating to the SCPCR, vacancies have not been filled up for several months. In fact, the NCPCR was compelled to file a writ petition in the Punjab and Haryana High Court for a mandamus to the State

h

Governments of Punjab and Haryana and the Union Territory of Chandigarh to fill up the vacancies of members of the SCPCR.¹⁹ Unfortunately, at one point of time, even the position of the Chairperson of the NCPCR was lying unfilled for several months, until, on the directions of this Court, the position was eventually filled up. We need hardly say that unless the NCPCR and the SCPCRS are given due importance by the Government of India and the State Governments and vacancies are filled up in time, the enforcement of the rights of children will remain on the back-burner and any number of welfare schemes formulated by the Government of India or by the State Governments will remain unimplemented or their implementation will remain sketchy and symbolic.

58. We hope and trust that those in authority and power in the Government of India and in the State Governments appreciate the importance of adhering to the provisions of the laws enacted by Parliament and ensure that the NCPCR at the National level and the SCPCR at the State level actually function and perform their duties and recognise their responsibilities.

(ii) State Child Protection Society and the District Child Protection Unit

59. In the absence of any clear-cut guidelines on who should be appointed to these two bodies, the State Governments have found an easy way out by appointing government officials only and leaving out members of civil society. In our opinion, the constitution of the State Child Protection Society and the District Child Protection Unit need serious consideration so that all stakeholders, including the police and NGOs are actively involved in the performance of the functions, duties and responsibilities of these two bodies.

60. The functions of the State Child Protection Society and the District Child Protection Unit are detailed in Rule 84 and Rule 85 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Model Rules, 2016 framed by the Government of India. [It may be noted that some States have framed their independent Rules, but we are not referring to them.] The functions are varied, extensive and geared to improve the living conditions of children through different strategies and with the involvement of all stakeholders.

61. For the effective implementation of the JJ Act and the policies laid down by the NCPCR and the SCPCRS, Section 106 of the JJ Act provides for the constitution of a State-level Child Protection Society and a District-level Child Protection Unit. Section 106 of the JJ Act reads as follows:

“106. State Child Protection Society and District Child Protection Unit.—Every State Government shall constitute a Child Protection Society for the State and Child Protection Unit for every District, consisting of such officers and other employees as may be appointed by that Government, to take up matters relating to children with a view to ensure the implementation of this Act, including the establishment and maintenance of institutions under this Act, notification of competent authorities in relation to the children and their

¹⁹ *State of Punjab, In re*, 2013 SCC OnLine P&H 7489 : ILR (2013) 2 P&H 533

rehabilitation and coordination with various official and non-official agencies concerned and to discharge such other functions as may be prescribed.”

- a **62.** A perusal of the above provision broadly indicates that the primary function of the State Child Protection Society and the District Child Protection Unit is to ensure implementation of the JJ Act. In addition, these bodies are obliged to ensure that the institutions under the JJ Act are established and maintained, competent authorities in relation to children and their rehabilitation are in place and these two bodies are also required to coordinate
- b with government officials as well as NGOs to discharge their wide-ranging functions. The involvement of civil society through NGOs is a progressive step and these two bodies are expected to take their assistance from time to time.

- c **63.** If these two bodies actually perform their duties, responsibilities and functions in the manner expected of them, the implementation of the JJ Act would no longer be an issue. Unfortunately, we have been informed that these bodies are not functioning in many places or in the manner in which they are expected to and in several districts the District Child Protection Unit has not even been constituted. We expect remedial steps to be taken by all concerned.

(iii) Juvenile Justice Boards and Child Welfare Committees

- d **64.** With regard to the establishment of JJBs, we were given to understand that most districts now have a JJB, but it is high time that every district in every State must have a JJB. An exception could perhaps be made, such as in some districts of Arunachal Pradesh where there is perhaps no juvenile crime or, there could be some districts where the number of inquiries are very few in which event the JJB may appropriately schedule its sittings. Similarly, a “circuit JJB” could be considered if there are some adjacent districts where the number of
- e pending inquires is quite few.

65. We have also been given to understand that the appointment of some social workers as members of the JJB is not necessarily in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of the JJ Act. The relevant provision in this regard reads as follows:

- f **“4. Juvenile Justice Board.—(1)** * * *
- (2) A Board shall consist of a Metropolitan Magistrate or a Judicial Magistrate of First Class not being Chief Metropolitan Magistrate or Chief Judicial Magistrate (hereinafter referred to as “Principal Magistrate”) with at least three years’ experience and two social workers selected in such manner as may be prescribed, of whom at least one shall be a woman, forming a Bench and every such Bench shall have the powers conferred by the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (2 of 1974) on a Metropolitan Magistrate or, as the case may
- g be, a Judicial Magistrate of First Class.
- (3) No social worker shall be appointed as a member of the Board unless such person has been actively involved in health, education, or welfare activities pertaining to children for at least seven years or a practicing professional with a degree in child psychology, psychiatry, sociology or law.
- h (4) * * *

(5) The State Government shall ensure that induction training and sensitisation of all members including Principal Magistrate of the Board on care, protection, rehabilitation, legal provisions and justice for children, as may be prescribed, is provided within a period of sixty days from the date of appointment.

a

(6)-(7) * * *

66. The selection of social workers as members of the JJB is required to be carried out in accordance with the provisions of Rule 88 read with Rule 87 of the Model Rules. It must be appreciated that the appointment of social workers is serious business, inasmuch as they bring their experience—practical and professional—while conducting an enquiry under the JJ Act. This becomes all the more important when it is appreciated that the social workers can also conduct an enquiry independent of the Principal Magistrate as provided for in Section 7 of the JJ Act. However, the final disposition of the enquiry cannot be without the Principal Magistrate as mandated in the proviso to sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the JJ Act. There is therefore a heavy responsibility on the social workers to make a meaningful contribution during the course of an enquiry and also at the time of its disposition.

b

c

67. In this context, it is important to note that the training of the Principal Magistrate as well as the social workers is extremely important and this is provided for in Rule 89 of the Model Rules. Unfortunately, the duration of training and the curriculum and course have not yet formulated, and the nature of training given to the Principal Magistrate and the social workers is somewhat ad hoc and unstructured. The lack of meaningful and effective training (and refresher courses) can have a vital impact on the ultimate disposition of an enquiry as also on the rehabilitation and reintegration of a juvenile in conflict with law who is before the JJB. Serious thought is required to be given to this not only from the point of view of awareness of the law and child rights but also from the point of view of sensitisation of the JJBs, case management and creation of a child-friendly ambience and environment within the JJB for a juvenile in conflict with law. It appears to us that not much thought has been given to these aspects of the functioning of the JJBs and that is perhaps the reason why a very large number of inquiries are pending as mentioned above, with the State of Uttar Pradesh topping the list.

d

e

f

68. On the functioning of the JJBs, it is worth referring to the following passage from a decision of the Gauhati High Court in *Naisul Khatun v. State of Assam*²⁰: (SCC OnLine Gau para 31)

“31. The second disturbing aspect of the case is that it appears the Juvenile Justice Board constituted under Section 4 of the Act did not actually sit or assemble to deal with the case of the juvenile. We say so because from the original case records we find that all the order sheets passed in the matter, including those refusing bail, to juvenile have all been signed only by the Principal Magistrate of the Juvenile Justice Board. There

g

h

a is nothing to suggest that the two social worker members of the Board ever met to consider the request of the juvenile's father to grant him bail. This is rather unfortunate because the application of mind has to be by the Juvenile Justice Board and not only by the Principal Magistrate."

b **69.** The submissions made before us by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as by the learned counsel appearing for NALSA suggest that the JJBs do not have daily sittings. Of course, this would depend upon the number of inquiries pending before each JJB, but clearly if there are a large number of inquiries pending, it is the obligation of the JJB to sit on a daily basis so that the enquiry is concluded within the time-limit prescribed by the JJ Act. It does not serve any purpose at all if an enquiry remains pending for a considerable period of time—no one benefits by the delay in the disposition of an enquiry. In this context, we may also add that where a large number of inquiries are pending, it would be worthwhile for the State Government and
c the High Court to consider having more than one JJB. In Delhi, for instance, there are as many as three JJBs functioning at any given point of time and that is because of the large number of pending enquiries. Similar steps can be taken by some of the other State Governments as well as by the High Courts after evaluating and making an assessment of the need for more than one JJB being established in a district.

d **70.** During the course of hearing, we had emphasised the need for a study to be conducted by the State Governments on whether there is adequate staff available with the JJBs. Unfortunately, the response was rather poor and we were only told that there is adequate staff available. It must be appreciated that a JJB is virtually in the nature of a court, although it does not conduct a trial, but only conducts an enquiry. Nevertheless, it does need adequate staff to perform
e its functions in an efficient manner. The JJB also has several administrative functions and they need due attention so that there is effective coordination between the JJB, the officials of the Observation Home, the police, the juvenile in conflict with law and the parents of that juvenile and lawyers representing the police as well as the juvenile in conflict with law. These administrative duties need attention if the JJB is to function effectively and a casual statement that
f the JJB has adequate staff, though possibly correct, is neither here nor there considering the requirements of the stakeholders who attend the enquiry before the JJB.

g **71.** In this context, it must also be mentioned that there is a dire need to have quality legal aid lawyers who can assist juveniles in conflict with law. This is an issue that must be taken up with all earnestness by the State Legal Services Authority. Unless a child is given adequate legal representation, it may impact his or her future, more so if the child in conflict with law is found guilty and placed in a Special Home. On its part, NALSA has brought out a manual for training of legal aid lawyers and we believe that it will be extremely useful not only for legal aid lawyers who are representing juveniles in conflict with
h law, but also for legal aid lawyers generally. Similarly, prosecutors also need to be sensitised and we hope that the State Governments will take necessary

steps to educate and train them keeping in mind the primary objective of the JJ Act, which is to reintegrate a juvenile in conflict with law in society and to rehabilitate that juvenile.

a

72. In the context of conducting an effective enquiry, the role of a Probation Officer cannot be underestimated nor can we underestimate the significance of an accurate Social Investigation Report prepared by a Probation Officer. The duties of a Probation Officer have been detailed in Rule 64 of the Model Rules and this reads as follows:

“64. *Duties of a Probation Officer.*—(1) On receipt of information from the Police or Child Welfare Police Officer under clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 13 of the Act, without waiting for any formal order from the Board, the Probation Officer shall inquire into the circumstances of the child as may have bearing on the inquiry by the Board and submit a social investigation report in Form 6 to the Board.

b

(2) The social investigation report should provide for risk assessment, including aggravating and mitigating factors highlighting the circumstances which induced vulnerability such as traffickers or abusers being in the neighbourhood, adult gangs, drug users, accessibility to weapons and drugs, exposure to age inappropriate behaviours, information and material.

c

(3) The Probation Officer shall carry out the directions given by the Board and shall have the following duties, functions and responsibilities:

d

(i) To conduct social investigation of the child in Form 6;

(ii) To attend the proceedings of the Board and the Children’s Court and to submit reports as and when required;

(iii) To clarify the problems of the child and deal with their difficulties in institutional life;

e

(iv) To participate in the orientation, monitoring, education, vocational and rehabilitation programmes;

(v) To establish cooperation and understanding between the child and the person-in-charge;

(vi) To assist the child to develop contacts with family and also provide assistance to family members;

f

(vii) To participate in the pre-release programme and help the child to establish contacts which could provide emotional and social support to the child after release;

(viii) To establish linkages with Probation Officers in other Districts and States for obtaining social investigation report, supervision and follow-up;

g

(ix) To establish linkages with voluntary workers and organisations to facilitate rehabilitation and social reintegration of children and to ensure the necessary follow-up;

(x) Regular post-release follow-up of the child extending help and guidance, enabling and facilitating their return to social mainstreaming;

(xi) To prepare the individual care plan and post-release plan for the child;

h

- (xii) To supervise children placed on probation as per the individual care plan;
- a (xiii) To make regular visits to the residence of the child under his supervision and places of employment or school attended by such child and submit periodic reports as per Form 10;
- (xiv) To accompany children wherever possible, from the office of the Board to the observation home, special home, place of safety or fit facility as the case may be;
- b (xv) To evaluate the progress of the children in place of safety periodically and prepare the report including psychosocial and forward the same to the Children's Court;
- (xvi) To discharge the functions of a monitoring authority where so appointed by the Children's Court;
- (xvii) To maintain a diary or register to record his day-to-day activities such as visits made by him, social investigation reports prepared by him, follow-up done by him and supervision reports prepared by him;
- c (xviii) To identify alternatives of community services and to establish linkages with voluntary sector for facilitating rehabilitation and social reintegration of children; and
- (xix) Any other task as may be assigned."
- d **73.** It is clear from a reading of the above provision that a Probation Officer has a very important role to play in ensuring that a juvenile in conflict with law is given adequate representation and a fair hearing before the JJB and the enquiry is conducted in a manner that is conducive to the rehabilitation of the juvenile in conflict with law. In this regard, the preparation of an individual care plan and post-release plan gain immense significance and a Probation Officer
- e has an important role to play in this.
- 74.** Once again, it is of great importance that a Probation Officer should be given adequate training, sensitisation and awareness of his or her duties and responsibilities. NALSA has made a significant contribution in this regard by preparing a training module for Probation Officers. We expect all the State
- f Governments as well as MWCD to ensure that training is given to Probation Officers on the lines suggested by NALSA with improvements being made in adult learning techniques, training methodology, the curriculum and the course content in due course of time.
- 75.** The submissions made by the learned counsel for all the parties and our views and conclusions pertaining to the JJBs are equally applicable to the
- g CWCs and it is not necessary to repeat them. However, it might be noted that it is not always necessary for the State Legal Services Authority to appoint legal aid lawyers to assist the CWC—this would depend on a case-to-case basis and only as an Amicus Curiae for the purpose of advising the CWC on a question of law, should the need arise.
- 76.** We were informed, somewhat unfortunately, that Child Welfare
- h Committees are sometimes treated as "second class bodies" and that payment of honorarium is not made to them on a regular basis. In addition, they are

compelled to hold their sittings in buildings with very poor infrastructure facilities. This is a sad commentary on the working of the JJ Act for which the full responsibility rests on the shoulders of the State Governments concerned who must remedy the situation.

a

(iv) Use of technology

77. The use of technology, both by the JJBs as well as by the CWCs is extremely important and we are disheartened to note from the affidavits and submissions made by MWCD that there is an acute shortage of computers and peripherals with the JJBs and CWCs. Technology is important not only for the effective functioning of the JJBs and CWCs, but also to deal with issues that would arise from time to time concerning the tracing and tracking of missing children, the rescue of children working in hazardous industries, trafficked children, children who leave the Child Care Institutions, victims of child sexual abuse and follow-up action, among several other requirements. It is well known that our country is a technological powerhouse and if we are unable to take advantage of the resources available with us and fully utilise the benefits of technology through computers and the internet for the benefit of children, our status as a technological powerhouse would be in jeopardy and would remain only on paper. Data, particularly of the magnitude of the kind that we are concerned with, can be easily collected through the use of computers and the internet. This would be of great assistance in planning and management of resources and MWCD and others concerned with child rights must take full advantage of this.

b

c

d

78. That apart, there can be no doubt that the use of computers and peripherals would make an immense contribution to the administrative functioning of the JJBs and CWCs. Both the Government of India and the State Governments need to look into this and provide necessary software and hardware to the JJBs and the CWCs for obvious reasons. We were informed by the learned counsel that the police authorities in Telangana and Andhra Pradesh in consultation with the Juvenile Justice Committee of the High Court have made considerable use of information and communication technology and we are of the view that innovative steps must be encouraged. Similarly, the use of videoconferencing could also be considered in appropriate cases where some inconvenience to the juvenile in conflict with law necessitates the use of videoconferencing facilities.

e

f

79. In the context of the use of technology, MWCD must be complimented for bringing out an online Central-Level Monitoring System. We have been told by the learned Additional Solicitor General that this online system is working rather effectively although it would need upgrading from time to time as the months go by. The unfortunate part is that there does not seem to be much active cooperation extended by the State Governments in updating the information on the Central-Level Monitoring System. In one of the affidavits filed by MWCD and adverted to above, it was stated that while almost every State Government has filled up the relevant information for the year 2014-2015, but the response was rather lukewarm with regard to the period 2015-2016. Needless to say,

g

h

a updating information is extremely important so that there can be efficient planning which will ultimately lead to better management of issues concerning children. We may mention that the State Governments are required to fill up the information on the Central-Level Monitoring System once a quarter and surely that cannot be a difficult task.

(v) *Role of police*

b **80.** An extremely important stakeholder in the effective implementation of the JJ Act is the local police. Section 107 of the JJ Act mandates the appointment of a Child Welfare Police Officer (for short “CWPO”) and a Special Juvenile Police Unit (for short “SJPU”) in each district. The SJPU must also include two social workers having experience of work in the field of child welfare, one of them being a woman. The responsibility for appointment lies on the State Government. Section 107 of the JJ Act reads as follows:

c “**107. Child Welfare Police Officer and Special Juvenile Police Unit.**—(1) In every police station, at least one officer, not below the rank of assistant sub-inspector, with aptitude, appropriate training and orientation may be designated as the Child Welfare Police Officer to exclusively deal with children either as victims or perpetrators, in coordination with the police, voluntary and non-governmental organisations.

d (2) To coordinate all functions of police related to children, the State Government shall constitute Special Juvenile Police Units in each district and city, headed by a police officer not below the rank of a Deputy Superintendent of Police or above and consisting of all police officers designated under subsection (1) and two social workers having experience of working in the field of child welfare, of whom one shall be a woman.

e (3) All police officers of the Special Juvenile Police Units shall be provided special training, especially at induction as Child Welfare Police Officer, to enable them to perform their functions more effectively.

(4) Special Juvenile Police Unit also includes railway police dealing with children.”

f **81.** We have been given to understand by the learned counsel for the parties that many States have actually appointed CWPOs and set up SJPU. Unfortunately, their duties and responsibilities have not been clearly identified but are generally stated in Rule 86 of the Model Rules. We have also been given to understand that no system of effective training for CWPOs and SJPU has evolved and many of them exist only symbolically and only because the law requires them to exist. Since the duties and responsibilities of the g CWPOs and SJPU have not been specified or identified, it is high time in our opinion, that the Bureau of Police Research & Development and the National Police Academy in consultation with the State Police Academies identify the functions, duties and responsibilities of the CWPOs and SJPU. In this regard, we may note that NALSA has prepared Guidelines for Training Juvenile/ h Child Welfare Officers attached to every police station and members of the Special Juvenile Police Unit. Perhaps this could be a starting point for their

training through the Bureau of Police Research & Development and the Police Academies.

82. The importance of training can be appreciated from the allegations made before the Patna High Court in *Sanat Kumar Sinha v. State of Bihar*²¹. It was alleged in that case that a child was handcuffed by policemen in uniform during his transit from the police station to the civil court for his appearance before the Chief Judicial Magistrate. Additionally, it was alleged that contrary to the provisions of the 2000 Act the identity of the child was disclosed. Of course, the Patna High Court took up the issue with due seriousness but such a situation ought not to have occurred at all and could have been avoided through proper training and sensitisation.

83. With regard to the police generally, it was submitted that due to the policy of rotation, it often happens that soon after a police officer completes his or her training that officer is transferred out to another department. This is a waste of effort and one of the ways of resolving problems arising out of transfers is for every State Police Academy to conduct regular training programmes under the guidance of senior police officials of the State and for the State Government to optimally utilise the services of its officers.

84. Similarly, the Government of India is required to involve Railway Police for dealing with children. No information or data is forthcoming in this regard and the learned counsel for the parties were unable to assist us insofar as this aspect is concerned. We expect the Government of India through the Railways to look into the matter with some degree of urgency. We were told by the learned counsel that a large number of runaway children and children on drugs are found in railway stations (and other places) working as ragpickers or performing other menial activities. It was submitted before us that even otherwise, there is rampant drug abuse among such children. Efforts must be made to establish de-addiction centres especially for such children and also generally for juveniles in conflict with law and children in need of care and protection.

(vi) Child Care Institutions

85. There is a lot to be said with regard to Child Care Institutions. Many of them are housed in rundown buildings and are hardly conducive to comfortable living even to a minimum degree. The State Governments must appreciate that they are not doing any charity by putting up children in Child Care Institutions—they are merely performing their statutory and constitutional obligations. There is, therefore, an urgent need to make an evaluation and assessment of all the Child Care Institutions in every State to ascertain their condition, the infrastructure requirements and staffing requirements. Children live in these institutions, not because they want to but because they have no other option, since most of them are children in need of care and protection. The obligation of society is to provide solace and comfort to these children and adherence to the minimum standards of care. Model Rules contain details for improved Child

Care Institutions and their requirements. The State Governments have merely to adhere to these minimum requirements.

- a **86.** The condition of some State managed Child Care Institutions is best illustrated by the observations made by the Punjab and Haryana High Court in *State of Punjab, In re*¹⁹ to the following effect: (SCC OnLine P&H para 2)

b “2. ... The sordid state of the said Observation Home depicted that Observation Home at Sonapat had two barracks and a front side courtyard with high walls. The entry gate was similar to jail gate. The Home was having no source of recreation facilities or playground for the juveniles housed therein. The courtyard was filled with stagnated water due to blockage of drainage system and there was hardly any place for going out of barrack for using courtyard. Enquiry revealed that there was no arrangement for potable water. The bathrooms and kitchen were also in deplorable condition. The Observation Home was managed by a single teacher who, besides performing job of a teacher, was also looking after the overall administration of the Home. In this manner, no meaningful education was being imparted to the children. The Observation Home was found to be worse than a prison. Observation Home at Hoshiarpur (Punjab) was found to be no better with almost similar dilapidated conditions. ...”

- c
- d **87.** There are, of course, additional duties and responsibilities obligated by the Constitution on the State Governments such as providing education, health care (both physical and psychological) and adequate nutrition. These are huge areas that need to be looked into with all sincerity by the bodies and institutions established under the Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005 and the JJ Act. Citizens concerned like Sampurna Behura can only highlight the systemic implementation lapses and flaws and hope that the State Governments and the various bodies constituted under statutes enacted by Parliament perform their duties and functions. But, in such exceptional circumstances, the courts are constitutionally obliged to issue a continuing mandamus in public interest for implementation of the laws enacted by Parliament, which is essentially the primary responsibility of the executive. If the executive ignores the mandate of
- e
- f Parliament with continuing callousness, it will only be to the detriment of the children of our country.

88. In this context, we may add that MWCD has made considerable efforts in ensuring that Child Care Institutions run and managed by individuals and NGOs are registered in accordance with the provisions of the JJ Act. We are quite surprised that there has been some resistance to registration from

g some institutions, as informed by the learned Additional Solicitor General, and therefore we must make it clear that the law has to be obeyed as long as it exists on the statute books. It might be uncomfortable for those who manage some of these Child Care Institutions, but registration is compulsory and in public interest to ensure that minimum standards of care are maintained and the children in those Institutions are well looked after. We may note that

h

occasionally there are allegations originating from Child Care Institutions of trafficking and child sexual abuse, some of which may be unverified, but to avoid any such shameful allegations it is necessary that their registration, their management and functioning are strictly monitored by the State Governments and by MWCD.

a

89. It was suggested by the learned counsel that in all Child Care Institutions, there should be a segregation of children in terms of age and wherever applicable segregation based on the nature of the offence allegedly committed so that the possibility of sexual abuse or any other kind of violence is eliminated. Additionally, all such institutions should be advised to provide vocational or continuing education with a view to resettling children in conflict with law and children in need of care and protection by reintegrating and mainstreaming them in society. All these are issues of serious concern and need to be addressed by the State Governments and SCPCRS.

b

90. It was emphasised that there should be adequate staff available in all Child Care Institutions so that they are able to perform their duties efficiently. It was also suggested that the location of the JJBs and CWCs should be in close proximity of the Child Care Institutions to avoid children having to travel long distances for their appearance before these statutory bodies. All these suggestions and recommendations made by the learned counsel are issues of concern and must be dutifully addressed by the State Governments and the SCPCRS.

c

d

91. One of the submissions made with regard to Child Care Institutions was that the District Legal Services Authorities may make unscheduled visits to them to ascertain whether they are in fact functioning as they should. We are of the opinion that this “Visitor” system is definitely worth implementing and we expect NALSA to go ahead with the suggestion given. In fact, the Chief Justices Conference in 2006 had specifically adverted to the appointment of Visitors to Child Care Institutions and in the Chief Justices Conference in 2013 and 2015 improving the living conditions in Child Care Institutions was also adverted to. NALSA and the District Legal Services Authorities must respect the views expressed in the Chief Justices Conferences.

e

f

(vii) Juvenile Justice Fund

92. The JJ Act provides for the constitution of a Juvenile Justice Fund. The learned Additional Solicitor General placed before us figures relating to the contribution of the State Governments in the Juvenile Justice Fund. We are quite distressed to note that some of the State Governments have not even set up the Juvenile Justice Fund while one or two State Governments have set up the Fund with an embarrassing amount of only a few thousand rupees. We wonder how the welfare of children can be looked after by these State Governments with such a pittance in the kitty. Obviously, these State Governments are not seriously concerned about the welfare of children, which is a pity. This is yet another example of official apathy to the rights of children and a cause for worry.

g

h

(viii) Evaluation and assessment

- 93.** Finally, it would be appropriate if some sort of an evaluation or social audit is carried out every six months for the next couple of years to monitor and supervise the implementation of the JJ Act. More than sufficient time has already elapsed since the 2000 Act was enacted by Parliament and certainly the children of our country deserve much better and cannot wait for another 15 or 16 years for the effective implementation of the JJ Act. Most of the children who were born when the 2000 Act was enacted are nearing adulthood and many of them have not had the benefit of the provisions of the 2000 Act. This mistake, a serious one at that, cannot be repeated in the implementation of the JJ Act. It is said that children are the future of the country and if they are not looked after, it is the future of the country that is at stake.

Conclusions and Directions

- 94.** Keeping in mind the concerns expressed by all the learned counsel and the need to invigorate the juvenile justice system in the country, we are of the view that the following directions ought to be given and we do so:

- 94.1.** The Ministry of Women and Child Development in the Government of India and the State Governments should ensure that all positions in the NCPCR and the SCPCRS are filled up well in time and adequate staff is provided to these statutory bodies so that they can function effectively and meaningfully for the benefit of the children.

- 94.2.** The NCPCR and the SCPCRS should take their duties, functions and responsibilities with great earnestness keeping in mind the faith reposed in them by Parliament. A position in these statutory institutions is not a sinecure. These bodies have a very significant and proactive role to play in improving the lives of children across the country.

- 94.3.** The State-level Child Protection Societies and the District-level Child Protection Units have an enormous responsibility in ensuring that the JJ Act is effectively implemented and Child Care Institutions are managed and maintained in a manner that is conducive to the well-being of children in all respects including nutrition, education, medical benefits, skill development and general living conditions. These two bodies would be well advised to take the assistance of NGOs and civil society to ensure that the JJ Act serves the purpose for which it is enacted by Parliament.

- 94.4.** The State Governments must ensure that all positions in the JJBs and CWCs are filled up expeditiously and in accordance with the Model Rules or the Rules framed by the State Government. Any delay in filling up the positions might adversely impact on children and this should be avoided.

- 94.5.** The JJBs and CWCs must appreciate that it is necessary to have sittings on a regular basis so that a minimal number of inquiries are pending at any given point of time and justice is given to all juveniles in conflict with law and social justice to children in need of care and protection. This is a constitutional obligation.

94.6. The NCPCR and the SCPCRS must carry out time-bound studies on various issues, as deemed appropriate, under the JJ Act. Based on these studies, the State Governments and the Union Territories must take remedial steps. a

94.7. In particular the NCPCR and the SCPCRS must carry out a study for estimating the number of Probation Officers required for the effective implementation of the JJ Act. Based on this study, the State Government must appoint the necessary number of Probation Officers. It must be emphasised that the role of a Probation Officer is critical for the rehabilitation and social reintegration of a juvenile in conflict with law and due importance must be given to their duties as postulated in the Model Rules and Rules, if any, framed by the State Governments and the Union Territories. b

94.8. The MWCD must continue to make creative use of information and communication technology not only for the purpose of collecting data and information but also for other issues connected with the JJ Act such as having a database of missing children, trafficked children and for follow-up of adoption cases, etc. With the utilisation of technology to the fullest extent, administrative efficiency will improve considerably, which in turn will have a positive impact on the lives of children. c

94.9. It is important for the police to appreciate their role as the first responder on issues pertaining to the offences allegedly committed by children as well as the offences committed against children. There is therefore a need to set up meaningful Special Juvenile Police Units and appoint Child Welfare Police Officers in terms of the JJ Act at the earliest and not only on paper. In this context, it is necessary to clearly identify the duties and responsibilities of such units and officers and wherever necessary, guidance from the available expertise, either the National Police Academy or the Bureau of Police Research and Development or NGOs must be taken for the benefit of children. d

94.10. The National Police Academy and State Police Academies must consider including child rights as a part of their curriculum on a regular basis and not as an isolated or sporadic event. e

94.11. The management of Child Care Institutions is extremely important and State Governments and Union Territories would be well advised to ensure that all such institutions are registered so that children can live a dignified life in these Institutions and issues of missing children and trafficking are also addressed. f

94.12. State Governments and Union Territories would be well advised to appoint eminent persons from civil society as Visitors to monitor and supervise the Child Care Institutions in all the districts. This will ensure that the management and maintenance of these Institutions are addressed. We have no doubt that the State Legal Service Authorities and the District Legal Service Authorities will extend full assistance and cooperation to the government authorities in this venture as well as to the Visitors. g

h

94.13. The JJ Fund is a bit of an embarrassment with an absence of an effective response from the State Governments and the Union Territories. If
a financial resources are not made available for the welfare of the children we shudder to think what could be better utilisation of the funds.

94.14. NALSA has done a remarkable job in collecting data and information relating to the JJ Act, as evidenced by the three part Report prepared by it. We request NALSA to carry forward the exercise and complete a similar Report preferably before 30-4-2018 to assist all the policy making and decision taking
b authorities to plan out their affairs.

94.15. The importance of training cannot be overemphasised. It is vital for understanding and appreciating child rights and for the effective implementation of the JJ Act. All authorities such as JJBs and CWCs, Probation Officers, members of the Child Protection Societies and District
c Child Protection Units, Special Juvenile Police Units, Child Welfare Police Officers and managerial staff of Child Care Institutions must be sensitised and given adequate training relating to their position. A very positive step has been taken in this regard by NALSA and we expect the NCPCR with the assistance of the SCPCRS to carry forward this initiative so that there is meaningful implementation of the JJ Act.

94.16. Since the involvement of the State Governments and the Union Territories is critical to child rights and the effective implementation of the JJ Act, it would be appropriate if each High Court and the Juvenile Justice Committee of each High Court continues its proactive role in the welfare of children in their State. To make the involvement and process more meaningful,
d we request the Chief Justice of every High Court to register proceedings on its own motion for the effective implementation of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 so that road-blocks if any, encountered by statutory authorities and the Juvenile Justice Committee of the High Court are meaningfully addressed after hearing the governmental authorities concerned.
e A copy of this judgment and order should be sent by the Secretary General of this Court to the Registrar General of each High Court for being placed before
f the Chief Justice of every High Court for initiating suo motu proceedings.

95. Finally, we request and urge the Chief Justice of each High Court to seriously consider establishing child-friendly courts and vulnerable witness courts in each district. Inquiries under the JJ Act and trials under other statutes such as the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, the
g Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, trials for sexual offences under the Penal Code and other similar laws require to be conducted with a high degree of sensitivity, care and empathy for the victim. It is often said that the experience in our courts of a juvenile accused of an offence or the victim of a sexual offence is traumatic. We need to have some compassion towards them—even juveniles
h in conflict with law, since they are entitled to the presumption of innocence—and establishing child-friendly courts and vulnerable witness courts is perhaps



one manner in which the justice delivery system can respond to ease their pain and suffering. Another advantage of such child-friendly courts and vulnerable witness courts is that they can be used for trials in which adult women are victims of sexual offences since they too are often traumatised by the not so friendly setting and environment in our courts. *a*

96. We record our appreciation for Sampurna Behura for highlighting the issues raised in this public interest litigation and to the learned counsel for the appearing parties in not making this an adversarial proceeding, but a constructive effort for the benefit of the children of our country. *b*

97. The petition stands disposed of. The Registry should list the matter on 13-3-2018 for directions after obtaining a response from the Registrar General of each High Court.

c

d

e

f

g

h